# Final Report on the Planning and Development Department's Fee Study Analysis

## CITY OF FRESNO, CALIFORNIA



January 6, 2010

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

January 6, 2010

	Page
1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2. LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS	8
3. USER FEE METHODOLOGY	12
4. RESULTS	14
5. GENERAL PLAN MAINTENANCE AND UPDATE SURCHARGE	23
6. COMPARATIVE MARKET SURVEY OF FEES	27
7. CONCLUSION	29
ATTACHMENTS	
A: PLANNING COST CALCULATION RESULTS	
B - D: BUILDING COST CALCULATION RESULTS	
E: MARKET SURVEY OF FEES	

## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report, which follows, presents the results of the Fee Study Analysis conducted by the Matrix Consulting Group for the City of Fresno's Planning and Development Department.

#### 1. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK

The Planning and Development Department's fee structure was last comprehensively updated in fiscal year 1992/1993. As such, the City contracted with the Matrix Consulting Group to perform an analysis that would clarify the existing fee structure, as well as consider appropriate additions of new fees for services. In support of this initiative, the Matrix Consulting Group analyzed the cost of service relationships that exist between fees for service activities for the Department, including: Entitlement Review, Administrative Charges, Plan Check, Permit Processing, and Inspection.

The results of this analysis will provide a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can and should be charged.

#### 2. GENERAL PROJECT APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely known and accepted "bottom up" approach to cost analysis, where time spent per unit of fee activity is determined for each position within a department. Once time spent for a fee activity is determined, all applicable City costs are then considered in the calculation of the "full" cost of providing each service. The following table provides an overview of

types of costs that were considered and included in establishing the "full" cost of Fresno's Planning and Development Department Fee Study Analysis:

Cost Component	Description	
Direct	For the Planning and Development Department, fiscal year 2009/2010 budgeted salaries, benefits and allowable departmental expenditures.	
Departmental Overhead	Budgeted and non-budgeted departmental administration / management and clerical support.	
Citywide Overhead	City costs associated with central service costs such as payroll, human resources, budgeting, city management, etc. Often established through a cost allocation methodology or plan (In this case, the City already budgets for these costs, and considers them part of direct budgeted expenditures).	
Plan, Policy, and Systems Update and Maintenance	Costs associated with the preparation and revision of plans and policies required for the agency to make necessary findings and determinations such as: maintenance and update of the City's General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, and technology (permitting system) update, implementation, and eventually replacement.	

Together, the cost components in the table above comprise the calculation of the total cost of providing any particular service, whether a fee for that service is charged or not.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the proposed fees for service involved the following steps:

- **Departmental Staff Interviews:** The project team interviewed staff in each department regarding their needs for clarification to the structure of existing fee items, or for addition of new fee items.
- Data Collection: Data was collected for each item, including, time estimates and volume of activity. In addition, all budgeted costs and staffing levels for the FY 2009/10 fiscal year were entered into the Matrix Consulting Group's analytical software model.
- Cost Analysis: The full cost of providing each service included in the analysis
  was established. Cross-checks such as revenue reports and allocation of not
  more than 100% of staff resources to both fee and non-fee related activities
  assured the validity of the data used in the Study.

- Review and Approval of Results by City Staff: Departments and City Management have reviewed and approved these documented results.
- Presentation and Discussion with the Stakeholder Community: The results
  of the Analysis were presented and discussed with Fresno's Stakeholder
  Community.

A more detailed description of user fee methodology, as well as legal and policy considerations are provided in subsequent chapters of this report.

#### 3. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The following provides a brief summary of results for each scope of work conducted by the Matrix Consulting Group. The display of the cost recovery figures shown in the tables below are meant to provide a basis for policy development discussions among City staff, Council members, and the Fresno community, and does not represent a recommendation for where or how the Council should take action. The setting of the "rate" or "price" for services, whether at 100 percent full cost recovery or lower, is a decision to be made only by the City Council.

Overall, the Fee Study Analysis concluded that the City of Fresno recovers approximately 69% of its costs for fee-related services provided by the Planning and Development Department. While the detailed documentation of the Analysis will show an over-charge for certain services (on a per unit basis), and an undercharge for others, the City is providing an overall annual subsidy to the fee payer. The table below presents a summary of results for the Planning and Building Divisions of the Department:

Division	Estimated Annual Revenue Collected from Current Fees (\$)	Estimated Annual "Full" Cost of Providing Fee Related Services (\$)	Surplus / (Subsidy) (\$)	Cost Recovery Percentage
Building	3,833,000	4,966,000	(1,133,000)	77%
Planning	1,535,000	2,860,000	(1,325,000)	54%
TOTAL	5,368,000	7,826,000	(2,458,000)	69%

Important points to note regarding the table above include:

- **Building Division:** currently recovers approximately 77% of the estimated total cost of providing user fee-related services to the public. An additional \$1,133,000 cost offset could be achieved by implementing fees for service at 100% of cost.
- **Planning Division:** currently recovers approximately 54% of the estimated total cost of providing user fee-related services to the public. An additional \$1,325,000 cost offset could be achieved by implementing fees for service at 100% of cost.
- Non-fee related services: In the Planning Division, costs associated with a number of services that are not recoverable under fees for service were identified. Costs associated with these services are not displayed in the table above. More information on these services are provided in the detailed discussions of this report.

If the Planning and Development Department was recovering 100% of the total estimated costs of providing user-fee related services, an additional \$2,458,000 in revenue could be achieved.

#### 4. CONSIDERATIONS FOR COST RECOVERY POLICY AND UPDATES

The Matrix Consulting Group strongly recommends that the City use the information contained in this report to discuss, adopt, and implement a formal Cost Recovery Policy, and also to implement a mechanism for the annual update of fees for service.

#### (1) Adopt a Formal Cost Recovery Policy

A formal cost recovery policy may include targeted cost recovery levels that are different for Planning, versus Building fees. Based on our experience with hundreds of jurisdictions in California, and nationally, it is often the case that Planning discretionary and entitlement permits maintain a lower level of cost recovery for services than Building plan review and inspection permits. Cost recovery for Planning Departments generally ranges between 40 and 80 percent; Building Departments are typically 100 percent.

Whenever a cost recovery policy is established at less than 100% of the full cost of providing services, a known gap in funding is recognized and should be recovered through other revenue sources.

#### (2) Adopt an Annual Fee Update / Increase Mechanism

The Matrix Consulting Group recommends the City perform a complete update of its User Fee Study on a periodic basis. In general, 3 to 5 years for fee and rate studies is considered a best management practice.

The purpose of a comprehensive update is to completely revisit the analytical structure, service level estimates and assumptions applied in the previous study, and to account for any major shifts in cost components or organizational structures.

To ensure that the City receives appropriate fee and revenue increases that reflect growth in costs, the City could utilize published industry economic factors such as CPI or other regional factors to update the cost calculations established in this Study on an annual basis. Alternatively, the City could also consider the use of its own anticipated labor cost increases such as step increases, benefit enhancements, or cost

of living raises. The latter example often provides a more realistic reflection than a CPI, given the fact that labor costs generally comprise the majority of cost calculations for a jurisdiction. Use of an automatic increase mechanism based on the City's own labor costs also provides a factor that is specific to it and its operations, rather than one that is specific to a region or industry as a whole.

# (3) Adopt a General Plan Maintenance and Update Surcharge on Top of Building Permits

It is common for jurisdictions in California to employ "surcharges" on top of their fees for service to fund certain types of operational support costs. Surcharges are generally assessed as a percentage of fees or some other metric such as valuation, and are meant to recover costs from entire groups who receive overall benefit from services. A potential surcharge on top of building permits for recovery of costs associated with update and maintenance of the City's General Plan is discussed in detail in Chapter 5 of this report.

# 2. LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

A "user fee" is a charge for services provided by a governmental agency to a public citizen or group. In California, several constitutional laws such as Propositions 13, 4 and 218, State Government Codes 66012 and 66014, and more recently the Attorney General's Opinion 92-506 set the parameters under which the user fees typically administered by local government are established and administered.

#### 1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND PHILOSOPHIES REGARDING USER FEES

Local governments are providers of many types of general services to their communities. While all services provided by local government are beneficial to constituents, some services can be classified as globally beneficial to all citizens, while others provide more of a direct benefit to a specific group or individual. The following table provides examples of services provided by local government within a continuum of the degree of community benefit received:

Services that Provide General "Global" Community Benefit	Services that Provide Both "Global" Benefit and also a Specific Group or Individual Benefit	Services that Provide a Primary Benefit to an Individual or Group, with less "Global" Community Benefit
<ul><li>Police</li><li>Park Maintenance</li></ul>	<ul><li>Recreation / Community Services</li><li>Fire Suppression</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Building Permits</li> <li>Planning and Zoning Approval</li> <li>Site Plan Review</li> <li>Engineering Development Review</li> </ul>

Funding for local government is obtained from a myriad of revenue sources such as taxes, fines, grants, special charges, user fees, etc. In recent years, alternative tax revenues, which typically offset subsidies for services provided to the community, have

become increasingly limited. These limitations have caused increased attention on user fee activities as a revenue source that can offset costs otherwise subsidized (usually) by the general fund. In the table above, services in the "global benefit" section tend to be funded primarily through voter approved tax revenues. In the middle of the table, one typically finds a mixture of taxes, user fee, and other funding sources. Finally, in the "individual / group benefit" section of the table, lie the services provided by local government that are typically funded almost entirely by user fee revenue.

The following are two central concepts regarding the establishment of user fees:

- Fees should be assessed according to the degree of individual or private benefit gained from services. For example, the processing and approval of a land use or building permit will generally result in monetary gain to the applicant, whereas Police services and Fire Suppression are examples of services that are essential to the safety of the community at large.
- A profit making objective should not be included in the assessment of user fees. In fact, California laws require that the charges for service be in direct proportion to the costs associated with providing those services. Once a charge for service is assessed at a level higher than the actual cost of providing a service, the term "user fee" no longer applies. The charge then becomes a tax subject to voter approval.

Therefore, it is commonly accepted that user fees are established at a level that will recover up to, and not more than, the cost of providing a particular service.

#### 2. GENERAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING USER FEES

Undoubtedly, there are programs, circumstances, and services that justify a subsidy from a tax based or alternative revenue source. However, it is essential that jurisdictions prioritize the use of revenue sources for the provision of services based on the continuum of benefit received.

Within the services that are typically funded by user fees, the Matrix Consulting Group recognizes several reasons why City staff or Council may not advocate the full cost recovery of services. The following factors are key policy considerations in setting fees at less than 100 percent of cost recovery:

- Limitations posed by an external agency. The State or other agency will
  occasionally set a maximum, minimum, or limit the jurisdiction's ability to charge
  a fee at all. Examples include Transportation Permits commonly issued by Public
  Works departments, many types of Police records and processing fees, as well
  as charging for time spent copying and retrieving public documents in the City
  Clerk's office.
- Encouragement of desired behaviors. Keeping fees for certain services low
  may provide better compliance from the community. For example, if the cost of a
  permit for changing a water heater in a residential home is higher than the cost of
  the water heater itself, many citizens will avoid pulling the permit.
- Affect on demand for a particular service. Sometimes raising the "price" charged for services might reduce the number of participants in a program. This is largely the case in Recreation programs such as aquatics or sports leagues, where participants often compare the City's fees to surrounding jurisdictions or other options for leisure activities.
- Participation for individuals or groups that typically cannot afford services. Policy makers may decide to fully subsidize or set fees at a level that will allow participation for certain segments of the community, such as Senior programs.
- Benefit received by user of the service and the community at large is mutual. Many services that directly benefit a group or individual equally benefit the community as a whole. Examples include Recreation programs, Planning Design Review, historical dedications and certain types of special events, to name a few.

The Matrix Consulting Group recognizes the need for policy that intentionally subsidizes certain activities. The primary goals of a User Fee Study are to provide a fair and equitable basis for determining the costs of providing services, and assure that the City is in compliance with State law.

Once the full cost of providing services is known, the next step is to determine the "rate" or "price" for services at a level which is up to, and not more than the full cost amount. Council is responsible for this decision, which often becomes a question of balancing service levels and funding sources. The placement of a service or activity within the continuum of benefit received may require extensive discussion and at times fall into a "grey area". However, with the resulting cost of services information from a User Fee Study, the Council can be assured that the adopted fee for service is reasonable, fair, and legal.

## 3. USER FEE STUDY METHODOLOGY

The Matrix Consulting Group utilizes a cost allocation methodology, commonly known and accepted as the "bottom-up" approach to establishing User Fees. The term means that several cost components are calculated for each fee or service. These components then build upon each other to comprise the total cost for providing the service. The components of a full cost calculation are typically as follows:

Cost Component	Description
Direct	Salaries, benefits and allowable departmental expenditures.
Departmental Overhead	Departmental administration / management and clerical support.
Citywide Overhead	City costs associated with central service costs such as payroll, human resources, budgeting, city management, etc. Often established through a cost allocation methodology or plan (City is responsible for providing these costs).
Cross-Departmental Support	Costs associated with review or assistance in providing specific services. For example, costs established via study of the Planning Department for review of Building permits are included as overhead to building permit fees.
Plan, Policy, and Systems Update and Maintenance	General Plan Update, code enforcement, and technology costs, etc., as applicable

The general steps utilized by the project team to determine allocations of cost components to a particular fee or service are:

- Develop time-estimates for each service included in the study;
- Calculate the direct cost attributed to each time estimate;
- Utilize the comprehensive allocation of staff time to establish an allocation basis for the other cost components; and,
- Distribute the appropriate amount of the other cost components to each fee or service based on the staff time allocation basis, or other reasonable basis.

The result of these allocations provides detailed documentation for the

reasonable estimate of the actual cost of providing each service. The following are critical points about the use of time estimates and the validity of cost allocation models.

# 1. TIME ESTIMATES ARE A MEASURE OF SERVICE LEVELS REQUIRED TO PERFORM A PARTICULAR SERVICE

One of the key study assumptions utilized in the "bottom up" approach is the use of time estimates for the provision of each fee related service. Utilization of time estimates is a reasonable and defensible approach, especially since these estimates were developed by experienced staff members who understand service levels and processes unique to the City of Fresno.

The project team worked closely with the City's staff in developing time estimates with the following criteria:

- Estimates are representative of average times for providing service. Extremely
  difficult or abnormally simple projects are excluded from the analysis
- Estimates provided by staff are reviewed and approved by the department, and often involve multiple iterations before a Study is finalized.
- Estimates are reviewed by the project team for "reasonableness" against their experience with other agencies.

The Matrix Consulting Group agrees that while the use of time estimates is not a perfect approach, it is the best alternative available for setting a standard level of service for which to base a jurisdiction's fees for service, and it meets the requirements of California law.

The alternative to time estimating is actual time tracking, often referred to billing on a "time and materials" basis. Except for in the case of anomalous or sometimes very large and complex projects, the Matrix Consulting Group believes this approach is not cost effective or reasonable for the following reasons:

- Accuracy in time tracking is compromised by the additional administrative burden required to track, bill, and collect for services in this manner.
- Additional costs are associated with administrative staff's billing, refunding, and monitoring deposit accounts.
- Customers often prefer to know the fees for services in advance of applying for permits or participating in programs.
- Applicants may begin to request assignment of faster or less expensive personnel to their project.
- Departments can better predict revenue streams and staff needs using standardized time estimates and anticipated permit volumes.

Situations arise where the size, complexity, and additional study requirements of a given project warrant time tracking and billing on a "time and materials" basis. However, the Matrix Consulting Group discourages this practice whenever possible.

#### 2. CROSS CHECKS ENSURE THE VALIDITY OF OUR ANALYTICAL MODEL

In addition to the collection of time estimate data for each fee or service included in the User Fee Study, annual volume of activity data assumptions are also a critical component. By collecting data on the estimated volume of activity for each fee or service, a number of analyses are performed which not only provide useful information to departments regarding allocation of staff resources, but also provide valuable cross checks that ensure the validity of each cost allocation model. This includes assurance that 100% of staff resources are accounted for and allocated to a fee for service, or "other non fee" related category. Since there are no objectives to make a profit in establishing user fees, it is very important to ensure that services are not estimated at a level that exceeds budgeted resource capacity. If at least, and not significantly more than 100% of staff resources are accounted for, then no more than 100% of costs associated with providing services will be allocated to individual services in the Study.

## 4. RESULTS

The motivation behind a Cost of Services (Fee Study) Analysis is for the City Council and City Staff to maintain services at a level that is both accepted and effective for the community, and also to maintain control over the policy and management of these services.

The discussion of each Division below is a summary of extensive and voluminous cost allocation documentation provided to City staff under separate cover from this report. Attachments to this report present a summary of each Division's results in two perspectives:

- **First, on a "Per Unit" Basis:** comparing the full cost of providing each unit of service to the current fee for each unit of service (where applicable).
- **Second, on an annualized basis:** the project team utilized volume of activity estimates to project annual subsidies and revenue impacts associated with the implementation of each fee for service at full cost recovery levels.

It should be noted that these results are not a precise measurement. Changes to the structure of fee names, along with the use of time estimates allow only for a reasonable projection of subsidies and revenue. Consequently, the Council and City staff should rely conservatively upon these estimates to gauge the impact of implementation going forward.

#### 1. PLANNING DIVISION

The Planning Division performs advanced and current planning functions, including processing entitlements such as plan amendments, rezones, site plans, conditional use permits, and environmental assessments. The Division also prepares

and maintains the General Plan and various community specific, and redevelopment plans, processes annexations, provides public counter planning services and coordinates efforts of citizen advisory and plan implementation committees.

#### (1) Cost Calculation Results for Fee Related Services

The table in Attachment A to this report focuses primarily on costs and revenues associated with providing services for which a fee can be charged. As shown in Attachment A, most fees for service on a per unit basis for the Planning Division showed an average undercharge, and the results of the analysis identified an overall annual subsidy of approximately \$1,325,000 provided to the public for application review services. At current fee levels, the Planning Division is recovering approximately 54% of the total City costs associated with providing services for which a fee can be charged.

## (2) Cost Calculation Results for Fee Supporting and Non-Fee Related Services

The results of this analysis also identified the costs associated with several services that, according to the project team's interpretation of the State Government Code, are not recoverable in fees for service. In addition, the project team also identified costs associated with certain services performed within the department that are considered as "indirect" support to providing fee related services, but not 100% recoverable in fees for service. The table on the following page lists the types of fee supporting and non-fee related services identified, as well as their costs per the results of the Analysis.

Fee Supporting / Non-Fee Related Service	Estimated Annual "Full" Cost of Providing Services (\$)
Special Projects such as Council requests, special studies, etc.	106,000
General Public Counter and Public Information Duties	97,000
Inter-governmental Advisory Board and Committee Participation	49,000
Development Impact Fee Collection	667,000
SUBTOTAL	919,000
Interdepartmental Billing Offset for Development Impact Fee Collection  TOTAL	-355,000 <b>564,000</b>

Costs identified with the services listed above are not included in the Cost Calculation results shown in Attachment A of this report. These costs should be recovered via alternate revenue sources, rather than user fees.

## (3) Impacts of the Existing Discount for Inner City Projects

The city currently provides a discount for the following types of planning application activities within Fresno's inner-city area:

Fee Type
Conditional Use Permits – (Excluding ABC
CUP's and uses with pool or billiard tables)
Site Plan Review
Tentative Parcel Map
Plan Amendment
Rezoning
Variance

. The discount varies by type of application, from 50% of the adopted fee or more. As part of this Fee Analysis, the project team was able to estimate the annual impact of this policy as follows:

Division	Estimated Annual Revenue Collected from Current Fees (\$)	Estimated Annual "Full" Cost of Providing Fee Related Services (\$)	Surplus / (Subsidy) (\$)	Cost Recovery Percentage
Inner City Projects	35,000	114,000	(79,000)	31%

On average, Inner City Projects receive a subsidy of approximately \$79,000 per year for application services.

#### 2. BUILDING AND SAFETY SERVICES DIVISION

The Building and Safety Services Division is responsible for permit processing, plan checking, and inspection services for public and private projects. The division provides public counter services, processes subdivision, UGM, and various entitlements associated with development, and provides planning and engineering staff support to commercial and residential projects.

### (1) Specific Legal and Policy Issues for Building Permit Fees

The Building and Safety Services Division's existing fee structure assesses charges based on a combination of techniques. In general:

- New Commercial and Major Alterations and Additions fees are calculated based on a table of multipliers applied to the actual floor area of each project.
- New Single Family, New Duplex, or Major Residential Alterations and Additions fees are calculated based on the adjusted floor area of the project.
- Minor Additions and Alterations fees are calculated based on the total valuation of improvements.
- Minor Mechanical, Plumbing and Electrical fees are calculated based on an itemized list of flat fees for service.

For decades, most California jurisdictions (including Fresno) have utilized the Uniform Building Codes (UBC) for performing plan check and inspection services, and

based their fees for service on the UBC valuation tables published by ICBO. Under the Valuation Table method of establishing permitting fees, average costs (value) of construction per square foot are provided by "Valuation Tables" issued by the ICBO (International Conference of Building Officials) organization. The multipliers contained within these Tables are then multiplied by a project's building occupancy type and size to establish the construction value of a project. Once a project's value is determined from this Table, a graduated fee scale for value ranges is utilized to determine plan review and inspection fees.

The use of these Tables has come under considerable review and discussion over the past several years. Many, including the Attorney General of the State of California, believe that the valuation tables do not provide a clear nexus between fees charged and levels of service provided. In 1993, the Attorney General issued opinion 92-506 pertaining to the following questions:

- Is a local agency prohibited from charging building permit and similar fees which
  exceed the estimated reasonable costs of providing the services rendered unless
  the amounts of the fees are approved by the electorate?
- May a local agency charge building permit and similar fees based upon the Uniform Building Code Valuation Tables, which are in excess of the estimated reasonable costs of providing the services rendered unless the amounts of the fees are approved by the electorate?
- If a local agency charges building permit and similar fees based upon the Uniform Building Code Valuation Tables without supporting evidence regarding the relationship between the fees and services rendered, are such fees valid?

The Attorney General presented the following conclusions in response to the questions raised above:

A local agency is prohibited from charging building permit and similar fees which
exceed the estimated reasonable costs of services rendered unless the amounts
of fees are approved by the electorate

- A local agency may not charge building permit and similar fees based upon the Uniform Building Code Valuation Tables which are in excess of the estimated reasonable costs of providing the services rendered unless the amounts of the fees are approved by the electorate.
- If a local agency charges building permit and similar fees based on the Uniform Building Code Valuation Tables without supporting evidence regarding the relationship between the fees and the services rendered, such fees are invalid to the extent they exceed the reasonable costs of providing the services rendered.

In addition, the Attorney General noted that the valuation tables are contained in a private publication issued by a nongovernmental association (ICBO), which presents no evidence of the following critical factors pertaining to the establishment of charges for service:

- That the fees set by these tables approximate the estimated costs of the specified services in any particular local jurisdiction in California; and,
- That any cost analysis has been made by the ICBO with respect to relation between the tables and costs of providing services

Finally, the Attorney General concluded that the Government Code sections related to charges for service do not confirm the reasonableness of fees set forth by the ICBO valuation tables, and that a jurisdiction may not adopt or charge the fees set forth in the tables.

Although the Attorney General's opinion specifically discusses the use of the UBC Valuation Tables, the Matrix Consulting Group considers this opinion equally applicable to the IBC Valuation Tables recently re-issued by the ICC for the following reasons:

 The ICBO/ICC have historically issued Valuation Tables for both the UBC and IBC in order to accommodate jurisdictions in California and across the country that adopt different code systems.  The methodology utilized by ICBO/ICC to establish the construction cost multipliers for both tables is the same, where national averages of construction cost by building occupancy type present factors that reflect the value of construction per square foot.

However, in addition to the Attorney General's opinion, the Matrix Consulting Group also notes the following significant issues with the Valuation Tables:

- Valuation Tables have no correlation to the specific cost of providing services encumbered by a jurisdiction. The true costs of providing building permitting services in any jurisdiction are actually correlated to the level of effort required by a jurisdiction's staff support and operational costs, not the cost of construction.
- Valuation Tables' components are subject to economic factors, which fluctuate at a higher frequency than typical costs encumbered by government agencies such as salaries, benefits, and overhead.
- Valuation Table-based fees generally result in an imbalance of revenue between commercial versus residential development. Based on the Matrix Consulting Group's experience in analyzing building permit operations, valuation based fees for commercial occupancies do not consider the economies of scale achieved in required effort and costs associated with plan review and inspection of large projects.

Even with the knowledge and points stated above, utilization of the Valuation Table methodology for establishing building permit fees still remains the standard fee setting mechanism for most jurisdictions in California. In recent years, however, many jurisdictions have implemented cost based charging systems that do not rely on the valuation tables.

### (2) Cost Calculation Results for the Building and Safety Services Division

In response to the issues with valuation tables noted above, the Department wished to consider alternatives to the Valuation Table methodology for establishing building permit fees. As such, the Matrix Consulting Group worked with City staff to undergo a thorough analysis that connects staff hours and costs by various project

types to fees for service. Attachments B through D display the resulting Building and Safety services cost calculations by major grouping of project types.

- Attachment B: focuses on the full cost of services related to the permitting, plan review, and inspection of new occupancies, commercial tenant improvements, residential additions, and residential remodels. These project types and sizes were analyzed on a square footage size basis. The results in this table focus on an average cost versus revenue comparison for various projects included in the analysis. Also included is the resulting fee table format related to Attachment B. These tables are the resulting fee matrix that would be implemented if Building and Safety fees for service are adopted at 100% of cost recovery. Separate tables are included for plan check and inspection.
- Attachment C: presents full cost of services results related to "Miscellaneous Items" that do not fit directly into the structure identified for items in Attachments B. Common projects seen in this area include minor residential and commercial improvements such as re-roofs, window replacement, retaining walls, pools, etc. These project types and sizes were analyzed on a flat (per project basis), or on a smaller square footage size basis than projects included in Attachment B.
- Attachment D: presents full cost of services results related to Mechanical, Plumbing and Electrical projects that are "stand-alone" or "singular" in nature, meaning they are not part of an application for a project shown in Attachments B, or C.

Some fee items shown in the Attachments show an average undercharge, while others indicate an average overcharge. However, as shown in the following table, the net result of the Analysis found an overall undercharge for services performed by the Building and Safety Services Division.

Fee Area	Estimated Annual Revenue Collected from Current Fees (\$)	Estimated Annual "Full" Cost of Providing Fee Related Services (\$)	Surplus / (Subsidy) (\$)	Cost Recovery Percentage
New Construction	\$3,045,000	\$4,173,000	\$(1,128,000)	74%
Miscellaneous Items	\$498,000	\$508,000	\$(10,000)	100%
MPE's	\$290,000	\$285,000	\$5,000	104%
TOTALS:	\$3,833,000	\$4,966,000	\$(1,133,000)	79%

The City currently provides an overall annual subsidy of approximately \$1,133,000 to the public for plan review and inspection services. At current fee levels, the Division is recovering approximately 79% of the total City costs associated with providing its services.

# 5. GENERAL PLAN MAINTENANCE AND UPDATE SURCHARGE

It is common for jurisdictions in California to employ "surcharges" on top of their fees for service to fund certain types of operational support costs. Common surcharges employed in the West include: technology maintenance and replacement, fund reserve contributions, code enforcement, records maintenance, and general plan maintenance and update. Surcharges are generally assessed as a percentage of fees or some other metric such as valuation, and are meant to recover costs from entire groups who receive overall benefit from services rather than individual clients or customers.

The City of Fresno updates its General Plan on a routine bases. This Plan helps to guide the growth of the community in a consistent manner. Government Code 66014 (b) allows local agencies to, "...include the costs reasonably necessary to prepare and revise the plans and policies that a local agency is required to adopt before it can make any necessary findings and determinations". This section of the Government Code supports inclusion of general plan maintenance and update costs in both planning and building fees for service.

The following provides a sampling of 15 California jurisdictions that have implemented General Plan Maintenance and/or Update Surcharges:

Jurisdiction Name	General Plan Maintenance and/or Update Surcharge
City of San Jose	1.25% Surcharge on all Entitlement and Building Permit fees
City of Santa Barbara	11% General Plan Update Surcharge assessed on all building permits that create new development
County of San Luis Obispo	Building permits are assessed a 9.4% general plan update surcharge

Jurisdiction Name	General Plan Maintenance and/or Update Surcharge
County of Marin	Long Range Planning Surcharge is applied to various types of planning applications. (General Plan Maintenance Impact Fee is 10.5% and applied to planning applications and building permits.)
City of Sunnyvale	General Plan Maintenance fee charged at .5% of total building permit valuation for all projects other than residential remodels
City of San Carlos	General Plan fee assessed on both Planning and Building fees (General Plan Surcharge is a fixed amount (average: 15%) assessed on each type of the planning fees and some building fees.)
City of San Diego	General plan maintenance fee charged for projects with plans and documents to be reviewed for compliance with the general plan or land development code provisions. (\$88 assessed on Construction Permits)
City of Oakland	General Plan Surcharge issued on building, demolition, and privately-contracted public improvement projects (Assessed on all P-JOB Permits; 0.1% of Construction Valuation)
Town of Los Gatos	General Plan Surcharge of .5% of Bldg. Valuation for new construction and additions or 10% of zone change and subdivision fee
City of Long Beach	3.1% of the fee for each building, zoning, historical, environmental, electrical, mechanical, and plumbing plan check and permit application.
City of Riverside	10% of Plan check, building, electrical, mechanical and plumbing fee.
City of Morgan Hill	5% surcharge for all planning and building permits.
City of Corona	A 2.2% surcharge is added to all building permit fees.
City of Fremont	15% of fees required by Master Fee Schedule. (15% of building permit fees)
City of Redwood City	General Plan Maintenance fee of .05% of building valuation fee is applied to all building permits.
City of Bakersfield	\$78 fee added to all new construction building permit fees.

Because a current General Plan document is needed to determine conditions of approval, entitlements, and building permit approval, these costs are legitimately recoverable in the programs and fees it supports. As part of the Fee Study Analysis, the Department provided the following costs associated with update and maintenance of the General Plan:

	Amount
Comprehensive Update	3,700,000
On-going Maintenance	
and Update	5,600,000
Subtotal	9,300,000
less DOE Grant	(1,700,000)
TOTAL	7,600,000
Amortization Cycle	10 years
Total Annual Costs	760,000

Most jurisdictions perform a comprehensive update of their General Plan on a five to ten year cycle. As shown in the table above, on a 10 year update cycle, the Planning and Development Department would need to accrue approximately \$760,000 per year in costs, allocated to a special fund, for the purpose of updating and maintaining their Plan.

To implement a surcharge for recovery of general plan maintenance and update costs, the Department and Council could agree upon a desired cost recovery target for this program, to be captured on top of Building permit fees. The following are a couple of policy options typically seen in other jurisdictions:

- **Policy Option 1:** Recover 100% of the annual costs via building permit fee surcharge.
- Policy Option 2: Recover less than 100% of the annual costs via building permit fee surcharge. The remaining unfunded amount would be subsidized by other City revenue sources such as the general fund for the general community benefit received from the plan, as well as for the use of the Plan by other departments such as public safety and utilities.

Specific calculation of the surcharge is affected by the actual fee-setting action determined by the local decision making authority. Assuming the building permit and plan check fees are adopted at 100% of the full cost recovery levels identified in the results of this study, the following table presents three options for surcharge amounts

based on 100%, 80%, and 50% recovery of General Plan maintenance and update costs on top of building permit fees.

Policy Options	Surcharge %	Annual GP Maintenance and Update Revenue	Funding Gap
Policy Option 1 - 100%	18%	\$760,000	\$-
Policy Option 2 - 80%	15%	\$608,000	\$152,000

In adopting a General Plan maintenance and update surcharge, each jurisdiction's policy makers must decide to what degree new development impacts the revision and maintenance efforts to their Plan. For jurisdictions with large amounts of undeveloped land available, the impact is typically considered higher than for jurisdictions that are closer to "build-out" of available land resources.

## 6. COMPARATIVE FEE SURVEY

As part of this Cost of Services (User Fee) Study for the City of Fresno, the Matrix Consulting Group conducted a comparative survey of fees. This Fee Study Analysis will provide the City with a reasonable estimate and understanding of the true costs of providing services. Many jurisdictions also wish to consider the local "market rates" for services as a means for assessing what types of changes in fee levels their community can bear.

The following issues should be noted regarding the use of market surveys in the setting of fees for service:

- A market survey does not provide adequate or objective information of the relationship of a jurisdictions costs to its fees. Therefore, comparative surveys do not help the Mayor or Council make cost-based decisions.
- Each jurisdiction and its fees are different, and many are not based on actual cost of providing services.
- The same "fee" with the same name may include more or less steps or subactivities. In addition, jurisdictions provide varying levels of service and have varying levels of costs associated with providing services such as staffing levels, salary levels, indirect overhead costs, etc.

Because each jurisdiction is different, the Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the information contained in the market comparison of fees be used as a secondary decision-making tool, rather than a tool for establishing an acceptable price point for services.

The Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the number of fees and jurisdictions involved in a comparative survey be limited to the vital few necessary to assess the market and make decisions. Using an excessive number of components to such a

survey can risk creating a confusing excess of data that will obscure rather than clarify policy issues. Limiting the number of components will help keep the focus on the vital fee items with the most significant impact to the community.

The results of the fee survey are shown in Attachment E to this report.

## 7. CONCLUSION

The City of Fresno engaged the Matrix Consulting Group to determine the total cost of development review services provided to its citizens and businesses for the Planning and Development Department. To calculate the total cost of each service, the Matrix Consulting Group employed both a widely accepted and defensible methodology, as well as the experience and input of City staff to complete the necessary data collection and discussion to complete the analysis. City leaders can now use this information to make informed decisions and set its fees to meet the fiscal and policy goal objectives of the City.

## **ATTACHMENT A**

Cost Recovery Report Table – Planning Division

#### Cost Recovery Report Table - Fee Related Services Only

FEE NO.	Fee Name	Current Fee / Deposit (\$)	Total Cost Per Unit (\$)	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit (\$)	Annual Recoverable Volume	Revenue at Current Fee - Annual (\$)	Total Cost - Annual (\$)	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual (\$)
1	Address Change (Minor)	50	191	(141)	3	139	530	(391)
	Annexation - Inhabited	3.300	10.541	(7,241)		-	-	-
4	Annexation - Un-Inhabited	3,300	7,533	(4,233)	1	4,571	10,434	(5,863)
5	Bond Processing	115	170	(55)		159	236	(76)
	CUP Application	6,230	7,521	(1,291)	87	543,638	656,251	(112,613)
	CUP Mid-rise/high-rise (< 1 net acre)	12,610	10.980	1,630	-	-	-	-
	CUP Mid-rise/high-rise (1 to 5 net acres)	12,610	13,537	(927)	_	_	_	_
	CUP Amendment to approved CUP (Minor)	2.840	3,008	(168)	21	59,005	62,500	(3,495)
	CUP Amendment to approved CUP (Revised exhibit -major)	840	1,964	(1,124)		39,558	92,477	(52,918)
	CUP Amendment to approved CUP (Revised exhibit -minor)	160	836	(676)	51	8,200	42,824	(34,624)
	Special use CUP Asterisked use	2,630	5,833	(3,203)	3	9,107	20,197	(11,090)
	Covenants preparation and recording	520	2,889	(2,369)		18,006	100,051	(82,045)
	Covenants Revision	110	1,603	(1,493)	-	-	-	(02,010)
	Covenants Release	640	2,583	(1,943)	1	886	3,578	(2,691)
	Minor Deviation Application	320	744	(424)	8	2.659	6.180	(3,520)
	Director's Classification	790	3,630	(2,840)	1	1,094	5,028	(3,934)
	Draw-Down Account Administrative Fee	105	133	(28)			-	-
	Environ. Assess. (Categorical Exemption)	520	1,086	(566)	76	39,614	82,754	(43,141)
	Environ. Assess. (Finding of Conformity)	1,210	3,342	(2,132)	53	63,687	175,926	(112,239)
	Environ. Assess. (Mit. Neg. Dec.)	1,210	8,022	(6,812)		1,676	11,111	(9,435)
	EIR - Analysis (Focus)	15,760	54,398	(38,638)	3	54,573	188,368	(133,795)
	EIR - Analysis (Program)	22,140	79,968	(57,828)	-	-	-	-
	Planned Comm Formal Appl.	80,500	28,394	52,106	_	_	_	_
	Over-height Structure Approval	190	6,217	(6,027)	_	_	_	_
	Plan Modification	4,200	4,228	(28)		-	_	_
	Plan Amendment	5,000	9,693	(4,693)	14	69,255	134,259	(65,004)
	Planned Comm. Develop. Prelim. App.	97,000	14,197	82,803	_	-	-	-
	Precise Plans of Des. (Under 1 net acre)	4,190	6,184	(1,994)	_	_	_	_
	Precise Plans of Des. (1 to 5 net acres)	6,230	8,356	(2,126)	_	-	_	-
	Precise Plans of Des. (> 5 net acres, ea. Add'l. 5 net acres)	370	2,089	(1,719)		-	_	_
	Planning Commission (Continuation of scheduled item at request of applicant)	1,060	1,086	(26)	1	1,060	1,086	(26)
	Refunds, Handling Charge (Additional City-wide handling)	71	133	(62)	_	-	-	-
	Refunds, Handling Charge (Handling)	10	342	(332)	_	-	_	_
	Release Hold on Occupancy (Minor)	60	376	(316)	_	_	_	_
	Response to Inquiries - Written (All inquiries)	160	653	(493)	35	5,651	23,063	(17,412)
	Rezoning (Modifications to zoning conditions)	2,100	8,392	(6,292)	1	2,909	11,624	(8,715)
	Rezoning	2,100	9,495	(7,395)	13	27,633	124,939	(97,307)
	Security Wire Permit	140	418	(278)		388	1,158	(770)
	Signs/Zoning Review (Master sign program application)	370	919	(549)	3	1,025	2,546	(1,521)
	Signs/Zoning Review (On-site signs)	150	292	(142)	119	17,868	34,838	(16,970)
	Signs/Zoning Review (Master sign program conformance review)	35	167	(132)	192	6,714	32,060	(25,346)
	Signs/Zoning Review (Temp / Banner)	150	167	(132)		- 0,714	-	(20,040)

Matrix Consulting Group Page 1 of 3

#### Cost Recovery Report Table - Fee Related Services Only

FEE		Current Fee / Deposit	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit	Annual Recoverable	Revenue at Current Fee -	Total Cost -	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual
NO.	Fee Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Volume	Annual (\$)	Annual (\$)	(\$)
	SPR	4,940	6,351	(1,411)		112,900	145,139	(32,239)
	SPR - Amendment	3,360	2,507	853	1	4,654	3,472	1,182
	SPR - Amendment (Minor Revised exhibit)	160	668	(508)	16	2,549	10,648	(8,099)
	SPR - Amendment (Major revised exhibit)	640	1,462	(822)	-	-	<u>-</u>	-
	SPR - Rear yard encroachment (Major)	580	543	37	98	57,038	53,414	3,624
	Special Agreements - Early Issuance of Model Home	640	1,609	(969)	1	886	2,228	(1,342)
	Master Dev. Agrmt. (Under 1 net acre)	-	18,968	(18,968)	-	-	-	-
	Master Dev. Agrmt. (1 to 5 net acres)	-	24,400	(24,400)	-	-	-	-
	Master Dev. Agrmt. (> 5 net acres, ea. Add'l. 5 net acres)	-	3,342	(3,342)	-	-	-	-
	Street Name Change	1,580	9,217	(7,637)	-	-	-	-
	Lot Line Adjustment	1,900	5,026	(3,126)		46,055	121,818	(75,763)
	Tentative Parcel Map - Filing (4 lots or less)	2,100	4,712	(2,612)		10,180	22,843	(12,663)
	Tentative Parcel Map - Filing (5 lots or more)	2,520	6,383	(3,863)	10	24,433	61,891	(37,457)
	Final Parcel Map - (4 lots or less)	3,160	7,892	(4,732)	3	8,754	21,863	(13,109)
	Final Parcel Map - (5 lots or more)	4,410	10,903	(6,493)		27,487	67,958	(40,470)
	Final Parcel Map - Wavier Certificate Request	1,940	677	1,263	1	2,687	938	1,749
	Final Tract Map Filing (Map)	9,140	11,234	(2,094)	3	31,650	38,901	(7,251)
	Final Tract Map Filing (Per 50 lots)	2,950	3,606	(656)		10,215	12,488	(2,273)
	Subdivision - Additional tax certification process	52	204	(152)	1	72	282	(210)
	Subdivision- Agreement Preparation	-	2,289	(2,289)	-	-	-	-
	Subdivision - Certificate of Compliance (SMA 66499.35)	2,620	2,008	612	1	3,629	2,781	848
	Subdivision - Record of Survey Processing	110	705	(595)	3	305	1,954	(1,650)
	Tentative Tract Map (Pre-application and verification)	3,680	2,887	793	1	5,097	3,999	1,098
	Tentative Tract Map - Filing (Base Fee)	12,030	15,421	(3,391)	6	74,982	96,116	(21,134)
	Tentative Tract Map - Filing (Per each 50 lots)	10,500	8,159	2,341	1	14,544	11,301	3,242
132	Tentative Tract Map - Revised (Minor)	235	3,284	(3,049)	1	325	4,549	(4,223)
	Tentative Tract Map - Revised (Major)	1,610	6,125	(4,515)		7,805	29,695	(21,889)
	Tentative Tract Map + Condo Conversion (Base Fee)	2,750	21,828	(19,078)	3	7,618	60,467	(52,849)
	Tentative Tract Map + Condo Conversion (Per 100 Units)	3,100	5,549	(2,449)	3	8,588	15,373	(6,785)
	Variance - Security Related	320	5,665	(5,345)		-	-	-
	Variance - Single-family residential Lot	740	5,666	(4,926)	3	2,050	15,695	(13,645)
	Variance - All Other	4,200	7,370	(3,170)	3	11,635	20,417	(8,782)
–	Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment	3,160	11,381	(8,221)	-	-	-	-
143	Corrected Exhibits (after first 2)	-	501	(501)	-	-	-	-
144	Address Assignment - Parcel Map	-	235	(235)	-	-	-	-
145	Address Assignment - Tract Map	-	334	(334)	-	-	-	-
146	Appeal by Applicant (requiring PC review)	-	668	(668)	-	-	-	-
147	Voluntary Parcel Merger	-	5,026	(5,026)	-	-	-	-
	Historic Pres Appl.	-	1,170	(1,170)	-	-	-	-
149	Bldg P/C - Offsite Impr. Commercial	140	281	(141)	282	39,461	79,124	(39,662)
150	Bldg P/C - Offsite Impr. Residential	140	348	(208)	41	5,720	14,217	(8,497)
151	Time Extension - PC Review only	-	1,588	(1,588)	1	-	1,588	(1,588)
152	Time Extension - add'l for CC Review	-	1,588	(1,588)	-	-	-	-

Matrix Consulting Group Page 2 of 3

#### Cost Recovery Report Table - Fee Related Services Only

FEE NO.	Fee Name	Current Fee / Deposit (\$)	Total Cost Per Unit (\$)	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit (\$)	Annual Recoverable Volume	Revenue at Current Fee - Annual (\$)	Total Cost - Annual (\$)	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual (\$)
154	Annual Hours - SPECIAL PROJECTS *	-	106,228	(106,228)	-	-	-	-
158	Annual Hours - PUBLIC COUNTER / GEN INFO *	-	97,302	(97,302)	-	-	-	-
162	Annual Hours - ADVISORY BOARDS / COMMISSIONS *	-	48,622	(48,622)	-	-	-	-
163	Annual Hours - DIF COLL	354,788	667,384	(312,596)	-	-	-	-
166	Exec Asst to Dir Full Cost Hourly	-	122	(122)	1	-	122	(122)
167	Planning Mgr / Supv Plnr / Plnr III/II Full Cost Hourly	-	153	(153)	1	-	153	(153)
170	Cheif Eng Tech Full Cost Hourly	-	187	(187)	1	-	187	(187)
171	Supv Eng Tech Full Cost Hourly	-	165	(165)	1	-	165	(165)
173	Sr Eng Tech Full Cost Hourly	-	124	(124)	1	-	124	(124)
179	IC - CUP Application	3,115	7,505	(4,390)	6	19,416	46,780	(27,364)
184	IC - CUP Amendment to approved CUP	1,420	3,002	(1,582)	1	983	2,079	(1,095)
186	IC - CUP Amendment to approved CUP (Revised exhibit -major)	420	1,960	(1,540)	6	2,618	12,215	(9,597)
187	IC - CUP Amendment to approved CUP (Revised exhibit -minor)	80	834	(754)	4	332	3,465	(3,133)
188	IC - SPR	1,680	6,338	(4,658)	3	5,817	21,946	(16,129)
189	IC - SPR - Amendment (Minor Revised exhibit)	80	667	(587)	10	831	6,930	(6,099)
190	IC - Tentative Parcel Map - Filing (4 lots or less)	2,520	4,703	(2,183)	1	2,520	4,703	(2,183)
191	IC - Variance - Single-family residential Lot	740	5,654	(4,914)	3	2,050	15,663	(13,613)
TOTAL -	ALL ACTIVITIES				•	1,534,963	2,859,708	(1,324,745)

AVERAGE COST RECOVERY PERCENTAGE FOR FEE RELATED SERVICES	54%
---	-----

FOOTNOTES:	
Annual Hours - SPECIAL PROJECTS *	Costs associated with Special Projects, Council Research Requests, etc. that are not recoverable under fee-related revenue sources
Annual Hours - PUBLIC COUNTER / GEN INFO *	Costs associated with Planning staff support to general information inquiries via the public counter and/or telephone. 30% of these costs are incorporated into the
Annual Hours - ADVISORY BOARDS / COMMISSIONS *	Costs associated with Planning staff support to various advisory boards and commissions. 70% of these costs are included in Planning fee calculations, 30% are not

Matrix Consulting Group Page 3 of 3

## **ATTACHMENT B**

- 1. Cost Recovery Report Table Building and Safety Division for New Construction, Commercial Tenant Improvements, Residential Additions and Remodels
- 2. Fee Tables Building and Safety Division for New Construction, Commercial Tenant Improvements, Residential Additions and Remodels

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information			P	lan Check Full (	Cost Results (Un	nit)	ı	nspection Full C	ost Results (Ur	nit)
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)		Current Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate	rent Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate
			1,000	Į	\$ -	\$ 3,814			\$ -	\$ 1,953		
1	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, with stage	10,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 5,834 \$ 7,928			\$ -	\$ 2,135 \$ 3,724		
'	A-1	Assembly, meaties, with stage	20,000	ŀ	\$ -	\$ 9,723	\$ (9,723)	0%	\$ -	\$ 4,814	\$ (4,814	) 0%
			50,000		\$ -	\$ 13,912		0%	\$ -	\$ 8,416	\$ (8,416	
			1,000 4,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 3,464 \$ 5,298	\$ (3,464) \$ (5,298)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,948 \$ 2,129	\$ (1,948 \$ (2,129	0%
2	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, without stage	10,000	ľ	\$ -	\$ 7,200	\$ (7,200)	0%	\$ -	\$ 3,715	\$ (3,715	) 0%
			20,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 8,831 \$ 12,634	\$ (8,831)	0%	\$ -	\$ 4,802 \$ 8,395	\$ (4,802	) 0%
			50,000 500	ŀ	\$ -	\$ 2,051	\$ (12,634) \$ (2,051)		\$ -	\$ 1,289	\$ (8,395 \$ (1,289	
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 3,137	\$ (3,137)		\$ -	\$ 1,409	\$ (1,409	
3	A-2	Assembly, Nightclubs, Bars, Restaurants, Banquet Halls	5,000		\$ 2,611	\$ 4,263	\$ (1,652)	61%	\$ 3,380	\$ 2,459	\$ 921	137%
3	A-2	Dariquet Flaiis	10,000		\$ -	\$ 5,228	\$ (5,228)	0%	\$ 	\$ 3,178		
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 7,480			\$ -	\$ 5,557		
			1,000 4,000	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ 3,302 \$ 5,050			\$ -	\$ 1,976 \$ 2,159	\$ (1,976 \$ (2,159	
4	A-3	Assembly, Churches and Religious Buildings	10,000	-	\$ 3,971 \$ -	\$ 6,862 \$ 8,416	\$ (2,891) \$ (8,416)	58%	\$ 4,550	\$ 3,768 \$ 4.870	\$ 782 \$ (4,870	121%
			20,000 50,000	ŀ		\$ 8,416 \$ 12,042	\$ (12,042)		\$ 	\$ 4,870 \$ 8,515		
			1,000		\$ -	\$ 3,139	\$ (3,139)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,642	\$ (1,642	) 0%
		Assembly, General, Community Halls,	4,000		\$ -	\$ 4,801	\$ (4,801)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,794	\$ (1,794	) 0%
5	A-3	Libraries, Museums	10,000		\$ -	\$ 6,524	\$ (6,524)	0%	\$ -	\$ 3,131	\$ (3,131	0%
		·	20,000		\$ -	\$ 8,002		0%	\$ -	\$ 4,047	\$ (4,047	) 0%
			50,000	ŀ	\$ -	\$ 11,449 \$ 3,689	\$ (11,449) \$ (3,689)		\$ -	\$ 7,075 \$ 2,329	\$ (7,075	
			2,000 8,000	ŀ	\$ - \$ -	\$ 5,643		0%	\$ -	\$ 2,545	\$ (2,329 \$ (2,545	
6	A-4 / A-5	Assembly, Arenas	20,000		\$ -	\$ 7,668	\$ (7,668)	0%	\$ -	\$ 4,441	\$ (4,441	) 0%
			40,000 100,000	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ 9,404 \$ 13,455	\$ (9,404) \$ (13,455)	0%	\$ 	\$ 5,740 \$ 10,036	\$ (5,740 \$ (10,036	
			2,000	ŀ	\$ -	\$ 3,164	\$ (3,164)		\$ -	\$ 2,031		
			8,000		\$ -	\$ 4,839	\$ (4,839)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,220	\$ (2,220	) 0%
7	E	Educational Building	20,000 40,000	-	\$ 2,892 \$ -	\$ 6,576 \$ 8,065	\$ (3,684) \$ (8,065)	0%	\$ 3,232	\$ 3,874 \$ 5,008		
			100,000	ŀ		\$ 11,540	\$ (11,540)		\$ -	\$ 8,755	\$ (8,755	
			1,000		\$ -	\$ 2,064	\$ (2,064)		\$ -	\$ 1,530	\$ (1,530	
		Factory and Industrial (Low and Moderate	4,000	-	\$ -	\$ 3,156	\$ (3,156)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,673	\$ (1,673	) 0%
8	F-1 / F-2	Hazard)	10,000		\$ -	\$ 4,289	\$ (4,289)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,918	\$ (2,918	) 0%
			20,000	ŀ	\$ 3,828 \$ 8,595	\$ 5,260 \$ 7,526	\$ (1,432) \$ 1,069	73% 114%	\$ 3,776 8,900	\$ 3,772 \$ 6,595	\$ 4 \$ 2,305	
			50,000 500	ŀ	\$ 6,595	\$ 2,155			\$ 6,900	\$ 1,508	\$ 2,305 \$ (1,508	
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 3,296	\$ (3,296)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,648	\$ (1,648	) 0%
9	Н	All H Occupancies	5,000 10,000		\$ -	\$ 4,479 \$ 5,493	\$ (4,479) \$ (5,493)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,875 \$ 3,716	\$ (2,875 \$ (3,716	
			25,000	ŀ	\$ -	\$ 7,860		0%	\$ 	\$ 6,497		
			1,000		\$ -	\$ 3,227	\$ (3,227)		\$ -	\$ 1,168	\$ (1,168	
10	I-1	Institutional, Supervised Environment	4,000 10,000	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ 4,935 \$ 6,706	\$ (4,935) \$ (6,706)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,277 \$ 2,228	\$ (1,277 \$ (2,228	
10		mistrational, oupervised Environment	20,000		\$ -	\$ 8,225	\$ (8,225)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,880	\$ (2,880	) 0%
			50,000	-	\$ -	\$ 11,768	\$ (11,768)	0%	\$ -	\$ 5,036		
			1,000 4,000	ŀ	\$ - \$ -	\$ 3,256 \$ 4,979		0%	\$ -	\$ 686 \$ 750	\$ (686 \$ (750	
11	I-2	Institutional, Hospitals, Nursing Homes	10,000		\$ -	\$ 6,767	\$ (6,767)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,309	\$ (1,309	) 0%
			20,000 50,000		\$ -	\$ 8,299 \$ 11,874			\$ -	\$ 1,692 \$ 2,958	\$ (1,692 \$ (2,958	
			5,000		\$ -	\$ 4,602			\$ 	\$ 2,956	\$ (2,958	
			20,000		\$ -	\$ 7,039	\$ (7,039)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,024	\$ (1,024	) 0%
12	I-3	Institutional, Restratined	50,000 100,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 9,566 \$ 11,732	\$ (9,566) \$ (11,732)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,787 \$ 2,310	\$ (1,787 \$ (2,310	
			250,000		\$ -	\$ 11,732 \$ 16,785			\$ -	\$ 2,310	\$ (2,310	
13	В	Offices, etc Complete	500		\$ -	\$ 1,326	\$ (1,326)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,141	\$ (1,141	) 0%
14 15	"	"	2,000 5,000		\$ -	\$ 2,028 \$ 2,755	\$ (2,028) \$ (2,755)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,247 \$ 2,176	\$ (1,247 \$ (2,176	
16	"	н	10,000	ŀ	\$ 1,627	\$ 2,755	\$ (2,755)	48%	\$ 1,855	\$ 2,176		
17		н	25,000		\$ -	\$ 4,835	\$ (4,835)	0%	\$ -	\$ 4,918	\$ (4,918	) 0%

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information			P	lan Check Full (	Cost Results (Un	it)		I.	nspection Full C	ost Results (Un	it)
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)	Cı	urrent Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate		rent Fee / eposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate
			5,000	\$		\$ 4,502		0%	\$	-	\$ 7,417		
18	В	Offices (High Rise)	20,000 50,000	\$	-	\$ 6,886 \$ 9,358	\$ (6,886) \$ (9,358)	0% 0%	\$		\$ 8,107 \$ 14,145	\$ (8,107) \$ (14,145)	0%
			100,000	\$		\$ 11,477	\$ (11,477)	0%	\$	-	\$ 18,285	\$ (18,285)	0%
			250,000 500	\$	-	\$ 16,420 \$ 1,501	\$ (16,420) \$ (1,501)	0% 0%	\$	-	\$ 31,967 \$ 1,322	\$ (31,967) \$ (1,322)	0%
			2,000	\$	-	\$ 2,295	\$ (2,295)	0%	\$	-	\$ 1,445	\$ (1,445	0%
19	B	Medical Offices	5,000 10,000	\$	-	\$ 3,119 \$ 3,826		0% 0%	\$		\$ 2,521 \$ 3,259	\$ (2,521) \$ (3,259)	0%
			25,000	\$	-	\$ 5,473	\$ (5,473)	0%	\$	-	\$ 5,698	\$ (5,698)	0%
			2,000 8,000	\$	-	\$ 2,314 \$ 3,539	\$ (2,314) \$ (3,539)	0% 0%	\$		\$ 2,387 \$ 2,609	\$ (2,387 \$ (2,609)	0%
20	1-4	Institutional, Day Care Facilities	20,000	\$	-	\$ 4,809	\$ (4,809)	0%	\$	-	\$ 4,552	\$ (4,552)	0%
			40,000 100,000	\$	-	\$ 5,898 \$ 8,438	\$ (5,898) \$ (8,438)	0% 0%	\$		\$ 5,884 \$ 10,288	\$ (5,884 \$ (10,288	0%
			500	\$	-	\$ 1,401	\$ (1,401)	0%	\$	-	\$ 1,104	\$ (1,104	0%
21	М	Mercantile	2,000 5,000	\$	-	\$ 2,142 \$ 2,911	\$ (2,142) \$ (2,911)	0%	\$	-	\$ 1,207 \$ 2,105	\$ (1,207) \$ (2,105)	0%
21	IVI	ivier carrule	10,000	\$	1,439	\$ 3,570	\$ (2,131)	40%	\$	1,933	\$ 2,721	\$ (788	71%
			25,000	\$	- 652	\$ 5,109 \$ 2,801	\$ (5,109) \$ (2,149)	0% 23%	\$	1,428	\$ 4,758 \$ 4,593	\$ (4,758	31%
			2,000 8,000	\$		\$ 4,285	\$ (3,246)	24%	\$	3,063	\$ 5,020	\$ (3,165 \$ (1,957	61%
22	R-1	Residential, Hotel, Motel (Low/Mod Rise)	20,000	\$		\$ 5,823 \$ 7.141	\$ (3,928)	33% 82%	\$	6,000	\$ 8,758 \$ 11,322	\$ (2,758) \$ 712	69%
			40,000 100,000	\$		\$ 10,217	\$ (1,267) \$ (10,217)	0%	\$	12,034	\$ 11,322 \$ 19,793	\$ (19,793)	106%
			5,000	\$		\$ 4,777	\$ (4,777)	0%	\$	-	\$ 9,175	\$ (9,175	
23	R-1	Resdiential, Hotel, Motel (High Rise)	20,000 50,000	\$		\$ 7,307 \$ 9,930	\$ (7,307) \$ (9,930)	0% 0%	\$		\$ 10,029 \$ 17,497	\$ (10,029 \$ (17,497	0%
			100,000	\$	-	\$ 12,178	\$ (12,178)	0%	\$		\$ 22,618	\$ (22,618)	0%
			250,000 500	\$	20,624	\$ 17,424 \$ 1,913	\$ 3,201 \$ (1,913)	118% 0%	\$	31,333	\$ 39,544 \$ 3,338	\$ (8,211) \$ (3,338)	79%
			2,000	\$	-	\$ 2,927	\$ (2,927)	0%	\$	-	\$ 3,648	\$ (3,648	0%
24	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise Custom or Model Building)	5,000	\$	_	\$ 3,977	\$ (3,977)	0%	s	_	\$ 6,365	\$ (6,365)	0%
			10,000	\$	-	\$ 4,878	\$ (4,878)	0%	\$	-	\$ 8,228	\$ (8,228)	0%
			25,000 500	\$	-	\$ 6,979 \$ 1,788	\$ (6,979) \$ (1,788)	0% 0%	\$	-	\$ 14,386 \$ 2,551	\$ (14,386) \$ (2,551)	0%
			2,000	\$	-	\$ 2,735	\$ (2,735)	0%	\$	-	\$ 2,789	\$ (2,789)	0%
25	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise Production/Repeat Building)	5,000	\$	_	\$ 3,717	\$ (3,717)	0%	s	_	\$ 4,865	\$ (4,865)	0%
		Troduction/Topodi Banding/	10,000	\$	-	\$ 4,559	\$ (4,559)	0%	\$	-	\$ 6,289	\$ (6,289)	0%
			25,000 5,000	\$	-	\$ 6,522 \$ 4,177	\$ (6,522) \$ (4,177)	0% 0%	\$	-	\$ 10,996 \$ 8,916	\$ (10,996) \$ (8,916)	0%
			20,000	\$	-	\$ 6,389	\$ (6,389)	0%	\$	-	\$ 9,745	\$ (9,745)	0%
26	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Custom High Rise Building)	50,000	\$	_	\$ 8,682	\$ (8,682)	0%	s	_	\$ 17,003	\$ (17,003)	0%
		Summing)	100,000	\$	-	\$ 10,648	\$ (10,648)	0%	\$	-	\$ 21,979	\$ (21,979)	0%
			250,000 500	\$	-	\$ 15,234 \$ 2,226	\$ (15,234) \$ (2,226)	0% 0%	\$	-	\$ 38,426 \$ 2,032	\$ (38,426 \$ (2,032	
			2,000	\$	-	\$ 3,405	\$ (3,405)	0%	\$	-	\$ 2,221	\$ (2,221)	0%
27	R-4	Residential, Care/Assisted Living Facilities	5,000 10,000	\$	-	\$ 4,627 \$ 5,674	\$ (4,627) \$ (5,674)	0% 0%	\$		\$ 3,875 \$ 5,009	\$ (3,875 \$ (5,009	0%
			25,000	\$	-	\$ 8,119	\$ (8,119)	0%	\$	-	\$ 8,757	\$ (8,757)	0%
			2,000	\$	623	\$ 1,326 \$ 2,028	\$ (1,326) \$ (1,404)	0% 31%	\$	343	\$ 1,136 \$ 1,242	\$ (1,136) \$ (899)	0%
		Repair Garage & Service St (Including		, and	023				9	J+J			
28	S-1	Canopy)	5,000 10,000	\$	-	\$ 2,755 \$ 3,379	\$ (2,755) \$ (3,379)	0% 0%	\$	-	\$ 2,167 \$ 2,801	\$ (2,167) \$ (2,801)	0%
			25,000	\$	-	\$ 4,835	\$ (4,835)	0%	\$	-	\$ 4,897	\$ (4,897)	0%
			1,000 4,000	\$		\$ 1,138 \$ 1,741	\$ (1,138) \$ (1,741)	0% 0%	\$	-	\$ 1,094 \$ 1,196	\$ (1,094 \$ (1,196	0%
29	S-1	Storage, Moderate Hazard	10,000	\$	-	\$ 2,365	\$ (2,365)	0%	\$	-	\$ 2,087	\$ (2,087)	0%
			20,000 50,000	\$	-	\$ 2,901 \$ 4,151	\$ (2,901) \$ (4,151)	0% 0%	\$		\$ 2,698 \$ 4,717	\$ (2,698) \$ (4,717)	0%
			1,000	\$	-	\$ 763	\$ (763)	0%	\$	-	\$ 1,011	\$ (1,011)	0%
30	S-2	Storage, Low Hazard	4,000 10,000	\$		\$ 1,167 \$ 1,586	\$ (1,167) \$ (498)	0% 69%	\$	1,364	\$ 1,105 \$ 1,928	\$ (1,105 \$ (564	0% 71%
30	3-2	Otorage, LOW Flazaru	20,000	\$	-	\$ 1,945	\$ (1,945)	0%	\$	-	\$ 2,492	\$ (2,492)	0%
		-	50,000	\$	-	\$ 2,782	\$ (2,782)	0%	\$	-	\$ 4,357	\$ (4,357)	0%

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information			Plan Check Full	Cost Results (Un	it)		Inspection Full C	ost Results (Un	it)
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)	Current Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate	Current Fee Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate
			2,000	\$ -			0%	\$ -	\$ 2,611		
31	S-2	Parking Garage	8,000 20,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 6,599 \$ 8,968	\$ (6,599) \$ (8,968)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,854 \$ 4,980	\$ (2,854 \$ (4,980	
			40,000	\$ -	\$ 10,998	\$ (10,998)	0%	\$ -	\$ 6,438	\$ (6,438	0%
			100,000 333	\$ -	\$ 15,736 \$ 505	\$ (15,736) \$ (505)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 11,255 \$ 273	\$ (11,255 \$ (273	
			667	\$ -	\$ 569	\$ (569)	0%	\$ -	\$ 293	\$ (293	0%
32	U-1	Garage, Carport	1,000 1,667	\$ - \$ 207	\$ 763 \$ 1,021	\$ (763) \$ (814)	0% 20%	\$ - \$ 220	\$ 345 \$ 414	\$ (345 \$ (194	
			2,500	\$ -	\$ 1,311	\$ (1,311)	0%	\$ -	\$ 561	\$ (561	
			1,000 4,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,313 \$ 2,008	\$ (1,313) \$ (2,008)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,000 \$ 1,093	\$ (1,000 \$ (1,093	0%
33	SHELL	Shell Buildings, All Occupancy Types	10,000	\$ -	\$ 2,729	\$ (2,008) \$ (2,729)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,907	\$ (1,907	0%
			20,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 3,347 \$ 4,789	\$ (3,347) \$ (4,789)	0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,465 \$ 4,309	\$ (2,465 \$ (4.309	0%
			50,000 300	\$ -	\$ 4,789 \$ 292	\$ (4,789) \$ (292)	0% 0%	\$ -	\$ 4,309	\$ (4,309 \$ (1,136	0%
			1,200	\$ -	\$ 446	\$ (446)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,242	\$ (1,242	0%
34	TI - A	Tenant Improvement - Restaurants	3,000 6,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 606 \$ 743	\$ (606) \$ (743)	0% 0%	\$ -	\$ 2,167 \$ 2,801	\$ (2,167 \$ (2,801	0%
			15,000	\$ -	\$ 1,064	\$ (1,064)	0%	\$ -	\$ 4,897	\$ (4,897	0%
			2,000	\$ -	\$ 296 \$ 453	\$ (296) \$ (453)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 932 \$ 1,019	\$ (932 \$ (1,019	
		Tenant Improvement - All Other A	2,000	-				Ψ -	ψ 1,019		
35	TI - A	Occupancies	5,000 10,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 616 \$ 755	\$ (616) \$ (755)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,778 \$ 2,299	\$ (1,778 \$ (2,299	0%
			25,000	\$ -	\$ 1,080	\$ (755)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,299	\$ (2,299	
			500	\$ -	\$ 233	\$ (233)	0%	\$ -	\$ 932	\$ (932	0%
36	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Offices/Business	2,000 5,000	\$ 932 \$ -	\$ 357 \$ 485	\$ 576 \$ (485)	262% 0%	\$ 848 \$ -	\$ 1,019 \$ 1,778	\$ (171 \$ (1,778	
			10,000	\$ -	\$ 594	\$ (594)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,299	\$ (2,299	0%
			25,000 500	\$ - \$ -	\$ 850 \$ 275	\$ (850) \$ (275)	0% 0%	\$ -	\$ 4,019 \$ 1,044	\$ (4,019 \$ (1,044	
			2,000	\$ -	\$ 421	\$ (421)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,141	\$ (1,141	0%
37	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Medical Offices	5,000 10,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 572 \$ 701	\$ (572) \$ (701)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,990 \$ 2,573	\$ (1,990 \$ (2,573	
			25,000	\$ -	\$ 1,003	\$ (1,003)	0%	\$ -	\$ 4,499	\$ (4,499	
			500	\$ -	\$ 233	\$ (233)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,030	\$ (1,030	0%
38	TI - M	Tenant Improvement - Mercantile	2,000 5,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ 357 \$ 485	\$ (357) \$ (485)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,126 \$ 1,964	\$ (1,126 \$ (1,964	0%
		Tonan improvement increasing	10,000	\$ -	\$ 594	\$ (594)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,539	\$ (2,539	0%
			25,000 500	\$ -	\$ 850 \$ 233	\$ (850) \$ (233)	0% 0%	\$ -	\$ 4,439 \$ 1,012	\$ (4,439 \$ (1,012	0%
			2,000	\$ 1,111	\$ 357	\$ 755	312%	\$ 1,056		\$ (50	
39	TI	Tenant Improvement - All Other Occupancy Types	5,000	\$ -	\$ 485	\$ (485)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,929	\$ (1,929	0%
39	- ''	Types	10,000	\$ -	\$ 594	\$ (594)	0%	\$ -	\$ 2,493	\$ (2,493	0%
47	D.0	Decidential Occupanting Fourth (Occupant	25,000	\$ -	\$ 850		0%	\$ -	\$ 4,359		
47 48	R-3	Residential, One or Two-Family (Custom)	1,000 2,000	\$ 393	\$ 407 \$ 459	\$ (407) \$ (66)	0% 86%	\$ 674	\$ 1,390 \$ 1,490	\$ (1,390 \$ (816	
49	-		3,000	\$ 442		\$ (173)	72%	\$ 799	9 \$ 1,756	\$ (957	46%
	-		5,000 7,500	\$ -	\$ 823 \$ 1,057	\$ (823) \$ (1,057)	0% 0%	\$ -	\$ 2,105 \$ 2,856	\$ (2,105 \$ (2,856	0%
			1,000	\$ -	\$ 402	\$ (402)	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
		Residential - One or Two-Family (Master Plan	2,000	\$ -	\$ 453	\$ (453)	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
52	R-3	/ Standard Plan Review)	3,000	\$ 806		\$ 199	133%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			5,000	\$ -	\$ 812 \$ 1,043	\$ (812) \$ (1,043)	0% 0%	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
			7,500 1,000	\$ -	\$ 1,043		0%	\$ -	\$ 938	\$ -	0%
		Decidential One of Turn Frontier	2,000	\$ 53		\$ 21	164%	\$ 559		\$ (447	
53	R-3	Residential - One or Two-Family (Production/Repeat/Standard Plan Infill)	3,000	l s -	\$ 43	\$ (43)	0%	\$ 647	\$ 1,185	\$ (538	55%
			5,000	\$ -	\$ 58	\$ (58)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,420	\$ (1,420	0%
			7,500 167	\$ -	\$ 75 \$ 144	\$ (75) \$ (144)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,927 \$ 627	\$ (1,927 \$ (627	
			333	\$ -	\$ 163	\$ (163)	0%	\$ -	\$ 672	\$ (672	0%
54	R - Addition	Residential - Room Addition	500 833	\$ - \$ -	\$ 218 \$ 292	\$ (218) \$ (292)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ 792 \$ 949	\$ (792 \$ (949	0%
			1,250	\$ 243		\$ (292)	65%	\$ 217	\$ 949		

FRESNO Bidg Update 0910-FINAL 2.xls

#### City of Fresno USER FEE STUDY

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information			ı	Plan (	Check Full C	Cost Results (Un	it)	- 1	nspection Full C	ost Results (Un	it)
Fee#	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)	c	Current Fee / Deposit		ll Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate	rrent Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate
			300	\$		\$	1.167	\$ (1,167)	0%	\$ 	\$ 306	\$ (306)	0%
	-		1.200	\$		\$		\$ (1,785)	0%	\$ 	\$ 335	\$ (335)	
55	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - Restaurants	3,000	\$		\$	2,426	\$ (2,426)	0%	\$ 	\$ 584	\$ (584)	0%
00	OALI A	Comm. Alteration - Nestadrants	6,000	\$	-	\$	2,975	\$ (2,975)	0%	\$ 	\$ 755	\$ (755)	
			15.000	\$		\$	4,256	\$ (4.256)	0%	\$ 	\$ 1,320	\$ (1,320)	
			500	\$	833	\$	1.018	\$ (185)	82%	\$ 1.077	\$ 306	\$ 771	352%
			2,000	\$		\$	1,556	\$ (1,556)	0%	\$ -	\$ 335	\$ (335)	
							•						
56	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - All Other A Occupancies	5,000	\$	-	\$	2,115	\$ (2,115)	0%	\$ -	\$ 584	\$ (584)	0%
			10,000	\$		\$	2,594	\$ (2,594)	0%	\$ -	\$ 755	\$ (755)	
			25,000	\$	-	\$	3,711	\$ (3,711)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,320		
			500	\$	-	\$	908	\$ (908)	0%	\$ 	\$ 195	\$ (195)	
			2,000	\$			1,389	\$ (453)	67%	\$ 722	\$ 213		339%
57	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Offices/Business	5,000	\$		\$	1,888	\$ (1,888)	0%	\$ -	\$ 372		
			10,000	\$		\$	2,316	\$ (2,316)	0%	\$ -	\$ 480	\$ (480)	
			25,000	\$	-	\$	3,313		0%	\$ -	\$ 840	\$ (840)	
			500	\$	-	\$	1,159	\$ (1,159)		\$ -	\$ 306	\$ (306)	
			2,000	\$	-	\$	1,773	\$ (1,773)	0%	\$ -	\$ 335	\$ (335)	
58	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Medical Offices	5,000	\$		\$	2,409	\$ (2,409)	0%	\$ -	\$ 584	\$ (584)	0%
			10,000	\$	-	\$	2,955	\$ (2,955)	0%	\$ 	\$ 755	\$ (755)	
			25,000	\$	-	\$	4,227	\$ (4,227)	0%	\$ -	\$ 1,320		
			500	\$	-	\$	909	\$ (909)	0%	\$ -	\$ 306	\$ (306)	
			2,000	\$	-	\$	1,390	\$ (1,390)	0%	\$ -	\$ 335	\$ (335)	
59	CALT - M	Comm. Alteration - Mercantile	5,000	\$			1,889	\$ (1,047)	45%	\$ 621	\$ 584	\$ 37	106%
			10,000	\$	-	\$	2,317	\$ (2,317)	0%	\$ -	\$ 755	\$ (755)	
			25,000	\$	=	\$	3,315		0%	\$ -	\$ 1,320		
			500	\$		\$	972	\$ (972)	0%	\$ 	\$ 306	\$ (306)	
			2,000	\$	-	\$	1,486	\$ (1,486)	0%	\$ -	\$ 335	\$ (335)	0%
60	CALT	Comm. Alteration - All Other Occupancy Types		\$		\$	2,019	\$ (2,019)	0%	\$ 	\$ 584	\$ (584)	0%
			10,000	\$		\$	2,476	\$ (2,476)	0%	\$ -	\$ 755	\$ (755)	
			25,000	\$	2,120	\$	3,543	\$ (1,423)	60%	\$ 3,072	\$ 1,320	\$ 1,752	233%

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information			7	otal Full Cost	Results (Unit)			Potent	ial Revenue Resu	Ilts (Fee Service	es Only)
										Projected	Projected		
							Complete /			Annual	Annual	Annual	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis	Current Fee /	_	ull Cost per	Surplus / (Subsidy) per	Full Cost		Revenue at Current Fee /	Revenue at Full Cost per	Revenue Surplus /	Full Cost
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	Deposit	-	Unit	Unit	Recovery Rate		Deposit	Unit	(Subsidy)	Recovery Rate
	000 .jp0	Cooupanity	(oqualo loct)	Бороск	_			Trocovory Traco		Бороси	0	(Gasolay)	riocovery riaco
			1,000	\$ -	\$	5,767		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			4,000	\$ -	\$	7,968		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
1	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, with stage	10,000 20,000	\$ -	\$	11,652 14,537		0% 0%	_	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	0% 0%
			50,000	\$ - \$ -	\$	22,328		0%	_	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0%
			1,000	\$ -	\$	5,412		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			4,000	\$ -	\$	7,427		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
2	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, without stage	10,000	\$ -	\$			0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			20,000	\$ -	\$	13,632	\$ (13,632)	0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			50,000	\$ -		21,029		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500 2,000	\$ - \$ -	\$	3,340 4,546	\$ (3,340) \$ (4,546)	0% 0%	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
		Assembly, Nightclubs, Bars, Restaurants,	2,000	-	٠	4,540	\$ (4,540)	076	-	Φ -	<b>J</b>	Φ -	070
3	A-2	Banquet Halls	5,000	\$ 5,991	\$	6,722	\$ (730)	89%		\$ 29,826	\$ 33,462	\$ (3,635)	89%
			10,000	\$ -	\$	8,406	\$ (8,406)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000	\$ -	\$	13,037		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,000	\$ -	\$	5,277		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			4,000	\$ -	\$	7,209	\$ (7,209)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
4	A-3	Assembly, Churches and Religious Buildings	10,000	\$ 8,521	s	10,630	\$ (2,109)	80%		\$ 74,237	\$ 92,607	\$ (18,370)	80%
_	Λ-0	Assembly, charenes and iteligious buildings	20,000	\$ -	\$	13,286	\$ (13,286)	0%		\$ 74,237	\$ 92,007	\$ (10,370)	0%
			50,000	\$ -	\$	20,556	\$ (20,556)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			1,000	\$ -	\$	4,781	\$ (4,781)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			4,000	\$ -	\$	6,595	\$ (6,595)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
_		Assembly, General, Community Halls,											
5	A-3	Libraries, Museums	10,000 20,000	\$ -	\$	9,655 12,049		0% 0%	_	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0%
			50,000	\$ -	\$	18,524		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000	\$ -	\$	6,018		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			8,000	\$ -	\$	8,188		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
6	A-4 / A-5	Assembly, Arenas	20,000	\$ -	\$			0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			40,000	\$ -	\$	15,145		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			100,000	\$ -	\$	23,491		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000	\$ -	\$	5,195		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
7		Educational Duilding	8,000	\$ -	\$	7,060		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
/	E	Educational Building	20,000 40,000	\$ 6,124 \$ -	\$	10,450 13,073		59% 0%	$\dashv$	\$ 7,622 \$ -	\$ 13,006 \$ -	\$ (5,384) \$ -	59% 0%
			100,000	\$ -	\$	20,294	\$ (20,294)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,000	\$ -	\$	3,594		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			4,000	\$ -	\$		\$ (4,829)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
		Factory and Industrial (Low and Moderate											
8	F-1 / F-2	Hazard)	10,000	\$ -	\$		\$ (7,207)	0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			20,000 50,000	\$ 7,604 \$ 17,495	- \$	9,033 14,121		84% 124%	-	\$ - \$ 21,774	\$ - \$ 17,575	\$ - \$ 4,199	0% 124%
			500	\$ -	\$	3,663		0%	-	\$ -	\$ 17,575	\$ 4,199	0%
			2,000	\$ -	\$	4,944		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
9	Н	All H Occupancies	5,000	\$ -	\$			0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000	\$ -	\$	9,210	\$ (9,210)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000	\$ -	\$	14,357		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,000	<u>s</u> -	\$		\$ (4,395)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
10	I-1	Institutional, Supervised Environment	4,000 10,000	\$ -	\$	6,212 8,935		0% 0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
10	I-1	institutional, Supervised Environment	20,000	\$ -	\$	11,105	\$ (8,935) \$ (11,105)	0%		\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0%
			50,000	\$ -	\$	16,804		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,000	\$ -	\$	3,942		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			4,000	\$ -	\$	5,730		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
11	I-2	Institutional, Hospitals, Nursing Homes	10,000	\$ -	\$			0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			20,000	\$ -	\$	9,991		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			50,000	\$ - \$ -	\$	14,832		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0% 0%
			5,000 20,000	\$ -	\$	5,539 8,063	\$ (5,539) \$ (8,063)	0% 0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0%
12	I-3	Institutional, Restratined	50,000	\$ -	\$		\$ (8,063)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
-			100,000	\$ -	\$	14,041	\$ (14,041)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			250,000	\$ -	\$	20,823	\$ (20,823)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
13	В	Offices, etc Complete	500	\$ -	\$	2,467	\$ (2,467)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
14	"		2,000	\$ -	\$		\$ (3,275)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
17			5,000	\$ -	S	4,932	\$ (4,932)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
15 16			10,000	\$ 3,482	\$	6,192		56%	_	\$ 264,375	\$ 470,114	\$ (205,738)	56%

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information				Total Full Cos	t Results (Unit)			Potent	ial Revenue Resu	Its (Fee Service	s Only)
							, , ,			Projected	Projected		
										Annual	Annual	Annual	
			a. a .				Surplus /			Revenue at	Revenue at	Revenue	
F#	ICC (UBC)	0	Size Basis		Current Fee /	Full Cost per Unit	(Subsidy) per	Full Cost		Current Fee /	Full Cost per	Surplus /	Full Cost
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)		Deposit	Unit	Unit	Recovery Rate		Deposit	Unit	(Subsidy)	Recovery Rate
			5.000	. —	s -	\$ 11,920	\$ (11,920)	0%		\$ -	s -	\$ -	0%
			20,000		\$ -	\$ 14,993		0%		\$ -	-	\$ -	0%
18	В	Offices (High Rise)	50,000		\$ -	\$ 23,502	\$ (23,502)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			100,000		\$ -	\$ 29,761		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			250,000	,	\$ -	\$ 48,387		0%		\$ -	-	\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 2,823		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
19	В	Medical Offices	2,000 5,000		\$ -	\$ 3,740 \$ 5,641		0% 0%		\$ -		<u>\$</u>	0% 0%
19		Medical Offices	10,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 5,641 \$ 7,085		0%		\$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 11,172		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			2,000	•	\$ -	\$ 4,701	\$ (4,701)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			8,000		\$ -	\$ 6,148		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
20	I-4	Institutional, Day Care Facilities	20,000		\$ -	\$ 9,361	\$ (9,361)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			40,000 100,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 11,782 \$ 18,726	\$ (11,782) \$ (18,726)	0% 0%		\$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
			500		<u> </u>	\$ 2,505		0%				Ψ	0%
			2,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,505		0%		\$ - \$ -	Ψ	\$ - \$ -	0%
21	M	Mercantile	5,000		\$ -	\$ 5,016		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ 3,372	\$ 6,292	\$ (2,919)	54%		\$ 104,928		\$ (90,832)	54%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 9,866		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ 2,080			28%		\$ 88,031		\$ (224,850)	28%
22	R-1	Desidential Hetal Matel (Lew/Mad Disc)	8,000		\$ 4,101			44% 54%		\$ 102,088	\$ 231,598	\$ (129,510)	44% 54%
22	R-I	Residential, Hotel, Motel (Low/Mod Rise)	20,000 40.000		\$ 7,894 \$ 17,908			97%		\$ 29,475 \$ 133,727	\$ 54,440 \$ 137,865	\$ (24,965) \$ (4,138)	97%
			100,000		\$ 17,800	\$ 30,011		0%		\$ 133,727		\$ (4,130)	0%
			5,000	,	\$ -	\$ 13,953		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			20,000		\$ -	\$ 17,336		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
23	R-1	Resdiential, Hotel, Motel (High Rise)	50,000		\$ -	\$ 27,427		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			100,000		\$ -	\$ 34,796		0%	_	\$ -		\$ -	0%
			250,000		\$ 51,957 \$ -	\$ 56,967		91%		\$ -		\$ -	0% 0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 5,251 \$ 6,575		0%	-	\$ -		\$ - \$ -	0%
		Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise	2,000		<u>σ</u>	Ψ 0,575	ψ (0,373)	070	_	Ψ -	Ψ -	<u>Ψ</u> -	070
24	R-2	Custom or Model Building)	5,000		\$ -	\$ 10,342	\$ (10,342)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 13,106	\$ (13,106)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 21,364		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 4,340		0%	_	\$ -		\$ -	0%
		Decidential Multifernily / Levy Med Disc	2,000		\$ -	\$ 5,524	\$ (5,524)	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	0%
25	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise Production/Repeat Building)	5,000		s -	\$ 8,582	\$ (8,582)	0%		\$ -	s -	\$ -	0%
25	11-2	1 Todaction//tepeat Building)	10,000		\$ -	\$ 10,848		0%	_	\$ -		\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 17,518	\$ (17,518)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			5,000	,	\$ -	\$ 13,093		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			20,000		\$ -	\$ 16,134	\$ (16,134)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
		Residential, Multifamily (Custom High Rise									_		
26	R-2	Building)	50,000 100,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 25,684 \$ 32,627	\$ (25,684) \$ (32,627)	0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
			250.000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 32,627 \$ 53,660	\$ (32,627)			\$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 4,258		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 5,626		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
27	R-4	Residential, Care/Assisted Living Facilities	5,000		\$ -	\$ 8,502	\$ (8,502)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 10,683		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 16,876		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			500 2,000		\$ -	\$ 2,462 \$ 3,269		0% 30%		\$ - \$ 1,203		\$ - \$ (2,866)	0% 30%
		Repair Garage & Service St (Including	2,000		900	9 3,209	9 (2,303)	30%		ψ 1,203	Ψ 4,009	ψ (∠,000)	30%
28	S-1	Canopy)	5,000		\$ -	\$ 4,922	\$ (4,922)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 6,180	\$ (6,180)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			25,000			\$ 9,732	\$ (9,732)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,000		\$ -	\$ 2,233	\$ (2,233)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
20	- 0.1	Ctarage Madagata Harring	4,000		\$ -	\$ 2,937		0%		\$ -		<u>\$</u>	0%
29	S-1	Storage, Moderate Hazard	10,000 20,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 4,453 \$ 5,599		0%		\$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
			50,000		\$ -	\$ 5,599		0%	-	\$ -	-	\$ - \$ -	0%
			1,000		\$ -	\$ 1,774		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			4,000		\$ -	\$ 2,272	\$ (2,272)	0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
30	S-2	Storage, Low Hazard	10,000		\$ 2,451	\$ 3,513	\$ (1,062)	70%		\$ 85,425	\$ 122,436	\$ (37,011)	70%
			20,000		\$ -	\$ 4,437		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			50,000		\$ -	\$ 7,139	\$ (7,139)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

		Fee Service Information				Total Full Cos	t Results (Unit)			Potent	ial Revenue Resu	ılts (Fee Service	s Only)
										Projected	Projected		
							Complete (			Annual	Annual	Annual	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis		Current Fee /	Full Cost per	Surplus / (Subsidy) per	Full Cost		Revenue at Current Fee /	Revenue at Full Cost per	Revenue Surplus /	Full Cost
Fee #		Occupancy	(square feet)		Deposit	Unit	Unit	Recovery Rate		Deposit	Unit	(Subsidy)	Recovery Rate
		occupant,	, ,					,				()	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			2,888		\$ -	\$ 6,926		0%		\$ -		\$ -	0%
			8,000		\$ -	\$ 9,453		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
31	S-2	Parking Garage	20,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 13,948		0% 0%	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
			40,000 100,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 17,436 \$ 26,991		0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0%
	·		333		\$ -	\$ 778		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			667		\$ -		\$ (862)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
32	U-1	Garage, Carport	1,000		\$ -	\$ 1,108		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,667		\$ 427	\$ 1,435		30%		\$ 31,915	\$ 107,137	\$ (75,222)	30%
			2,500		\$ -	\$ 1,872				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,000		\$ -	\$ 2,313		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
33	SHELL	Shell Buildings, All Occupancy Types	4,000 10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,101 \$ 4,636	\$ (3,101) \$ (4,636)	0% 0%	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
33	SHELL	Shell Bullulligs, All Occupancy Types	20,000		\$ -	\$ 5,812		0%	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			50,000		\$ -	\$ 9,098	\$ (9,098)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			300		\$ -	\$ 1,428	\$ (1,428)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,200		\$ -	\$ 1,688	\$ (1,688)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
34	TI - A	Tenant Improvement - Restaurants	3,000		\$ -	\$ 2,773		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			6,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 3,544 \$ 5,960		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
	-		15,000 500			\$ 1,229		0% 0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
			2,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,472		0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
		Tenant Improvement - All Other A	2,000			Ų 1,472	ψ (1,+12)	070	7	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	070
35	TI - A	Occupancies	5,000		\$ -	\$ 2,394	\$ (2,394)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,054	\$ (3,054)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 5,099				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 1,166		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
36	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Offices/Business	2,000 5,000		\$ 1,780 \$ -	\$ 1,376 \$ 2,263		129% 0%		\$ 188,343 \$ -	\$ 145,534 \$ -	\$ 42,808 \$ -	129% 0%
30	II-B	renant improvement - Onices/Business	10,000		\$ -	\$ 2,893		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 4,869		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500	•	\$ -	\$ 1,319		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 1,562		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
37	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Medical Offices	5,000		\$ -	\$ 2,562	\$ (2,562)	0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000 25,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ 3,274 \$ 5,502		0% 0%	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
	·		500		\$ -	\$ 1,263		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 1,482		0%	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
38	TI - M	Tenant Improvement - Mercantile	5,000		\$ -	\$ 2,448		0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,133		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 5,289	\$ (5,289)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 1,245	\$ (1,245)	0%	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
	ļ	Toward Improvement All Other Occurre	2,000		\$ 2,167	\$ 1,462	\$ 705	148%		\$ 493,631	\$ 333,006	\$ 160,625	148%
39	TI	Tenant Improvement - All Other Occupancy Types	5,000		s -	\$ 2,413	\$ (2,413)	0%		\$ -	s -	\$ -	0%
33		турсо	10,000		\$ -	\$ 2,413		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 5,210				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
47	R-3	Residential, One or Two-Family (Custom)	1,000		\$ -	\$ 1,797	\$ (1,797)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
48	-	"	2,000		\$ 1,067	\$ 1,950	\$ (882)	55%		\$ 51,809	\$ 94,635	\$ (42,827)	55%
49	-		3,000		\$ 1,241	\$ 2,371		52%		\$ 7,725	\$ 14,755	\$ (7,030)	52%
	-	"	5,000 7,500		\$ -	\$ 2,929 \$ 3,913		0% 0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	0% 0%
	-		1,000		\$ -	\$ 3,913	\$ (3,913)	0%		\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 402 \$ 453	\$ (402)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
		Residential - One or Two-Family (Master Plan	2,000			<del>-</del> +00	(400)	0 70		<u> </u>			070
52	R-3	/ Standard Plan Review)	3,000		\$ 806	\$ 607		133%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			5,000		\$ -	\$ 812	\$ (812)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			7,500		\$ -	\$ 1,043	ψ (1,010)			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
	ļ		1,000		\$ -	\$ 966	\$ (966)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
		Residential - One or Two-Family	2,000		\$ 612	\$ 1,038	\$ (426)	59%	-	\$ 12,948	\$ 21,962	\$ (9,014)	59%
53	R-3	(Production/Repeat/Standard Plan Infill)	3,000		\$ 647	\$ 1,228	\$ (581)	53%		\$ 529,837	\$ 1,005,708	\$ (475,871)	53%
00	11-5	11 roduction//repeal/otanuaru r iair IIIIIII)	5,000		\$ -	\$ 1,478		0%		\$ 529,637	\$ 1,005,706	\$ (475,671)	0%
			7,500			\$ 2,001				\$ -		\$ -	0%
			167		\$ -	\$ 771	\$ (771)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			333		\$ -	\$ 835	\$ (835)	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
54	R - Addition	Residential - Room Addition	500		\$ -	\$ 1,010		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			833		\$ -	\$ 1,241		0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
	i		1,250		\$ 460	\$ 1,663	\$ (1,202)	28%		\$ 1,719	\$ 6,208	\$ (4,489)	28%

FRESNO Bidg Update 0910-FINAL 2.xls

#### City of Fresno USER FEE STUDY

#### **Building and Safety Services Division**

				1					_				
		Fee Service Information				Total Full Cos	t Results (Unit)		Н.			ults (Fee Service	es Only)
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)		Current Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate	R	Projected Annual evenue at rrent Fee / Deposit	Projected Annual Revenue at Full Cost per Unit	Annual Revenue Surplus / (Subsidy)	Full Cost Recovery Rate
			1 366	+	_	\$ 1.473	\$ (1.473	0%	l s		\$ -	\$ -	0%
			1,200		\$ - \$ -	\$ 1,473			\$		\$ -	\$ - \$ -	0%
55	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - Restaurants	3,000		\$ -	\$ 3.010			\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
55	CALITA	Comm. Alteration - Nestaurants	6,000	-	\$ -	\$ 3,730			\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
			15,000	-	\$ -	\$ 5,730			\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
	•		500	•	\$ 1,910				\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
	•		2.000	-	\$ -	\$ 1.891			\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
	•		2,000	-		9 1,001	ų (1,031	7 070	Ψ.		Ψ	Ψ	070
56	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - All Other A Occupancies	5.000		\$ -	\$ 2,699	\$ (2.699	0%	\$	_	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,348			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25.000		\$ -	\$ 5,031			ŝ	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500		s -	\$ 1.103			S	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2.000	-	\$ 1.658	\$ 1,602	\$ 56	103%	\$	784.305	\$ 757,816	\$ 26,489	103%
57	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Offices/Business	5,000		\$ -	\$ 2,260	\$ (2,260	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 2,796		0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 4,153	\$ (4,153	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 1,465	\$ (1,465	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 2,107	\$ (2,107	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
58	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Medical Offices	5,000		\$ -	\$ 2,993	\$ (2,993	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,709			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 5,547	\$ (5,547	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 1,215			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 1,725			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
59	CALT - M	Comm. Alteration - Mercantile	5,000		\$ 1,463				\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,072			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ -	\$ 4,635			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			500		\$ -	\$ 1,278			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
			2,000		\$ -	\$ 1,820	\$ (1,820	0%	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0%
					l .				١.			_	1
60	CALT	Comm. Alteration - All Other Occupancy Types			\$ -	\$ 2,603			\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
			10,000		\$ -	\$ 3,231			\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
			25,000		\$ 5,192	\$ 4,863	\$ 330	107%	\$		\$ -	\$ -	0%
									•	3.044.942	\$ 4.172.572	\$ (1.127.630)	73%
									-3-	3,044,942		\$ (1,127,630) ue Totals	13%
											Revent	ie iotais	

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CC	ONSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10	OF REQUIRE	ΞD	EFFORT:				
					Grou		<b>A</b> :		Grou				Grou		
					IA,				IIA, III				IIB, IIIB		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		actor:
			1		2.	00			1.:	50			1.	00	
	100 (1100)														
F#	ICC (UBC)	2	Size Basis	١.	D 04		Each		2 04		Each	١.	2 04		Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	-	Base Cost	A	dditional SF		Base Cost	A	dditional SF	Ŀ	Base Cost	Add	itional SF
			1,000	\$	3,814	Φ	0.673	¢	2,861	¢.	0.505	\$	1,907	\$	0.337
-			4,000	\$		\$	0.873	φ	4,375	\$	0.303	\$		<u>э</u> \$	0.337
1	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, with stage	10,000	\$		\$	0.180	9	5,946	\$	0.202	\$		\$	0.090
<u>'</u>		Assembly, meanes, with stage	20,000	\$	9,723		0.140		7,292	\$	0.105	\$		\$	0.070
			50,000	\$			0.140		10,434	\$	0.209	\$		\$	0.139
			1,000	\$	3,464	\$	0.611	\$	2,598	\$	0.459	\$		\$	0.306
			4,000	\$		\$	0.317		3,974	\$	0.433	\$		\$	0.158
2	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, without stage	10,000	\$		\$	0.163		5,400	\$	0.122			\$	0.082
		7.656mbry, medices, without stage	20,000	\$		\$	0.127		6,623	\$	0.095	\$		\$	0.063
			50,000	\$	12,634	\$	0.253		9,476	\$	0.190	\$		\$	0.126
			500	\$	•	_	1.448		3,077	\$	1.086	\$	2,051	\$	0.724
			2,000	\$		\$		\$	4,705	\$	0.563	\$	3,137	\$	0.375
3	A-2	Assembly, Nightclubs, Bars, Restaurants, Band	5,000	\$	8,526	\$	0.386		6,394	\$	0.290	\$	4,263	\$	0.193
		,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,	10,000	\$	10,456	\$	0.300		7,842	\$	0.225	\$		\$	0.150
			25,000	\$		\$	0.598		11,220	\$	0.449	\$	7,480	\$	0.299
			1,000	\$		\$	0.777		3,302	\$	0.583	\$	2,201	\$	0.388
			4,000	\$	6,733		0.403	\$	5,050	\$	0.302	\$		\$	0.201
4	A-3	Assembly, Churches and Religious Buildings	10,000	\$	9,150	\$	0.207	\$	6,862	\$	0.155	\$	4,575	\$	0.104
			20,000	\$		\$	0.161		8,416	\$	0.121	\$	5,611	\$	0.081
			50,000	\$	16,055	\$	0.321	\$	12,042	\$	0.241	\$	8,028	\$	0.161
			1,000	\$	4,185	\$	0.739	\$	3,139	\$	0.554	\$	2,093	\$	0.369
			4,000	\$	6,401	\$	0.383	\$	4,801	\$	0.287	\$	3,201	\$	0.191
5	A-3	Assembly, General, Community Halls, Libraries	10,000	\$	8,699	\$	0.197	\$	6,524	<b>\$</b>	0.148	\$		\$	0.098
			20,000	\$	10,669	\$	0.153		8,002	\$	0.115	\$		\$	0.077
			50,000	\$		\$	0.305		11,449	\$	0.229	\$		\$	0.153
			2,000	\$	3,689	\$	0.326	\$	2,767	\$	0.244	\$	1,845	\$	0.163
			8,000	\$		\$	0.169	\$	4,232	\$	0.127	\$	2,821	\$	0.084
6	A-4 / A-5	Assembly, Arenas	20,000	\$			0.087		5,751	\$	0.065			\$	0.043
			40,000	\$	9,404	\$	0.068		7,053	\$	0.051	\$		\$	0.034
			100,000	\$		\$	0.135		10,092	\$	0.101	\$		\$	0.067
			2,000	\$	4,219		0.372		3,164	\$	0.279	\$		\$	0.186
			8,000	\$			0.193		4,839	\$	0.145	\$		\$	0.097
7	E	Educational Building	20,000	\$	8,769		0.099	\$	6,576	\$	0.074			\$	0.050
			40,000	\$		\$	0.077		8,065	\$	0.058	\$		\$	0.039
			100,000	\$	15,386	\$	0.154	\$	11,540	\$	0.115	\$	7,693	\$	0.077

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				C	ONSTRUCTIO	ON	TYPE & RAT	10	OF REQUIR	ED I	EFFORT:				
					Grou		<b>A</b> :		Grou					ıp C:	
						, IB			IIA, II				IIB, IIIB		
				-	Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		actor:
		T		-	2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each				Each				Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)		Base Cost	Δα	dditional SF	F	Base Cost	Δc	ditional SF	F	Base Cost	bbΔ	itional SF
1 00 11	<b>5</b> 00 .ypc	Scoupancy	(oquaro root)		2400 0001		<u> </u>	-	2400 0001	7.0		-	2400 0001	7144	tional or
			1.000	\$	2,751	\$	0.486	\$	2,064	\$	0.364	\$	1,376	\$	0.243
			4,000	\$		\$	0.252		3,156	\$	0.189	\$	2,104	\$	0.126
8	F-1 / F-2	Factory and Industrial (Low and Moderate Haza	10,000	\$		\$	0.129		4,289	\$	0.097	\$		\$	0.065
			20,000	\$		\$	0.101		5,260	\$	0.076	\$	3,507	\$	0.050
			50,000	\$		\$	0.201	\$	7,526	\$	0.151	\$	5,017	\$	0.100
			500	\$		\$	1.014	\$	2,155	\$	0.761	\$	1,437	\$	0.507
			2,000	\$			0.526	\$	3,296	\$	0.394	\$	2,197	\$	0.263
9	Н	All H Occupancies	5,000	\$		\$	0.270	\$	4,479	\$	0.203	\$	2,986	\$	0.135
			10,000	\$		\$	0.210		5,493	\$	0.158	\$	3,662	\$	0.105
			25,000	\$		\$	0.419		7,860	\$	0.314	\$	5,240	\$	0.210
			1,000	\$		\$	0.759		3,227	\$	0.569	\$	2,151	\$	0.380
			4,000	\$		\$	0.394		4,935	\$	0.295	\$	3,290	\$	0.197
10	I-1	Institutional, Supervised Environment	10,000	\$		\$	0.202	\$	6,706	\$	0.152	\$	4,471	\$	0.101
			20,000 50,000	\$		\$	0.157		8,225 11,768	\$	0.118 0.235	\$	5,483	\$	0.079 0.157
				\$		\$	0.314 0.766		3,256	,		\$	7,845	\$	
			1,000 4,000	\$ \$		\$	0.766		3,256 4,979	\$	0.575 0.298	\$	2,170 3,320	\$	0.383
11	I-2	Institutional, Hospitals, Nursing Homes	10,000	\$		\$	0.397		6,767	\$	0.298	\$	4,511	<u>\$</u> \$	0.199
11	I-Z	institutional, nospitals, Nursing nomes	20,000	\$		\$	0.204		8,299	\$	0.153	\$	5,533	<u></u> \$	0.102
			50,000	\$		\$	0.139	¢	11,874	\$	0.119	\$		<del>-Ψ</del>	0.079
			5,000	\$		\$	0.162		3,452	\$	0.122	\$	2,301	\$	0.130
			20,000	\$		\$	0.084	\$	5,279	\$	0.063	\$	3,519	\$	0.042
12	I-3	Institutional, Restratined	50,000	\$		\$	0.043	\$	7,174	\$	0.032	\$		\$	0.022
-		monatorial, reodiatiroa	100,000	\$		\$	0.034		8,799	\$	0.025	\$	5,866	\$	0.017
			250,000	\$		\$	0.067	\$	12,589	\$	0.050	\$	8,393	\$	0.034
13	В	Offices, etc Complete	500	\$		\$	0.936		1,989	\$	0.702	\$	1,326	\$	0.468
14	"	"	2,000	\$		\$	0.485		3,041	\$	0.364	\$	2,028	\$	0.243
15	"	"	5,000	\$		\$	0.250		4,133	\$	0.187	\$	2,755	\$	0.125
16	"	"	10,000	\$		\$	0.194	\$	5,069	\$	0.146	\$	3,379	\$	0.097
17	"	"	25,000	\$	9,670	\$	0.387		7,252	\$	0.290	\$	4,835	\$	0.193
			5,000	\$		\$	0.159	\$	3,377	\$	0.119	\$	2,251	\$	0.079
			20,000	\$		\$	0.082	\$	5,164	\$	0.062	\$	3,443	\$	0.041
18	В	Offices (High Rise)	50,000	\$		\$	0.042		7,018	\$	0.032		4,679	\$	0.021
			100,000	\$		\$	0.033		8,607	\$	0.025	\$		\$	0.016
			250,000	\$	16,420	\$	0.066	\$	12,315	\$	0.049	\$	8,210	\$	0.033

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CC	ONSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10 C	OF REQUIRE	ED I	EFFORT:				
						, iв	i		Grou IIA, III	۱A, ۱	<b>VA</b>		Grou IIB, IIIB	, IV, V	
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Eff	fort	Factor:		Relative Ef	fort Fa	actor:
		<u>,                                      </u>			2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)	I	Base Cost	A	Each dditional SF	В	ase Cost	Ad	Each Iditional SF	Е	Base Cost		Each tional SF
			500	\$	2,001	\$	0.706	\$	1,501	\$	0.530	\$	1,001	\$	0.353
			2,000	\$		\$	0.366		2,295	\$	0.275		1,530	\$	0.183
19	В	Medical Offices	5,000	\$			0.188				0.141		2,080	\$	0.094
			10,000	\$		\$	0.146	\$	3,826	\$	0.110	\$	2,550	\$	0.073
			25,000	\$		\$	0.292			\$	0.219	\$	3,649	\$	0.146
			2,000	\$	2,314	\$	0.204	\$			0.153	\$	1,157	\$	0.102
			8,000	\$		\$	0.106		2,654	\$	0.079	\$	1,769	\$	0.053
20	I-4	Institutional, Day Care Facilities	20,000	\$		\$	0.054		3,607	\$	0.041		2,404	\$	0.027
			40,000	\$		\$	0.042	\$	4,423	\$	0.032	\$	2,949	\$	0.021
			100,000	\$	8,438	\$	0.084		6,329	\$	0.063	\$	4,219	\$	0.042
			500	\$		\$	0.659		1,401	\$	0.494	\$	934	\$	0.330
			2,000	\$		\$	0.342	\$	2,142	\$	0.256	\$	1,428	\$	0.171
21	М	Mercantile	5,000	\$		\$	0.176		2,911	\$	0.132		1,941	\$	0.088
			10,000	\$		\$	0.137				0.103		2,380	\$	0.068
			25,000	\$	- , -	\$	0.272		5,109	\$	0.204		3,406	\$	0.136
			2,000	\$		\$	0.330		2,801	\$	0.247		1,868	\$	0.165
			8,000	\$		\$	0.171		4,285	\$	0.128		2,856	\$	0.085
22	R-1	Residential, Hotel, Motel (Low/Mod Rise)	20,000	\$	7,763	\$	0.088	\$	5,823	\$	0.066		3,882	\$	0.044
			40,000	\$		\$	0.068		7,141	\$	0.051		4,761	\$	0.034
			100,000	\$		\$	0.136				0.102		6,811	\$	0.068
			5,000	\$		\$	0.169	\$			0.126		2,389	\$	0.084
			20,000	\$		\$	0.087		5,480	\$	0.066		3,653	\$	0.044
23	R-1	Resdiential, Hotel, Motel (High Rise)	50,000	\$			0.045		7,447		0.034		4,965	\$	0.022
			100,000	\$	12,178	\$	0.035		9,133	\$	0.026		6,089	\$	0.017
			250,000	\$		\$	0.070				0.052		8,712	\$	0.035
			500	\$		\$		\$	2,870	\$	1.013		1,913	\$	0.675
0.4		D : 1 (: 1 14 19;	2,000	\$	5,853	\$	0.700	\$	4,390	\$	0.525	\$	2,927	\$	0.350
24	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise Custom	5,000	\$		\$	0.360				0.270		3,977	\$	0.180
			10,000 25,000	\$		\$	0.280		7,316	\$	0.210		4,878	\$	0.140
				\$		\$	0.558		10,468	\$	0.419		6,979	\$	0.279
			500	\$	<u> </u>	\$	0.842		1,788	\$ 6	0.631		1,192	\$	0.421
05	D 0	Decidential Multiferation // acc/Med Disc Decide	2,000	\$		\$	0.436		2,735	\$	0.327		1,823	\$	0.218
25	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise Produc	5,000	\$			0.224	\$			0.168		2,478	\$	0.112
			10,000	\$			0.175				0.131		3,039	\$	0.087
			25,000	\$	8,697	\$	0.348	۱ ۵	6,522	\$	0.261	۱ ۵	4,348	\$	0.174

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CC	ONSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10 C	OF REQUIRE	ED E	FFORT:				
					Grou				Grou					ір С:	
					IA,				IIA, III				IIB, IIIB		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		Factor:		Relative Ef		actor:
		T T			2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each				Each				Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)		Base Cost	Δ	dditional SF	В	ase Cost	Δd	ditional SF	F	Base Cost		itional SF
	CCC .ypc	Geoupancy	(oquaro root)	-	2400 0001	- 1	aantional or		400 0001	7.0	antionial of	-	2400 0001	7144	tional or
İ			5,000	\$	4,177	\$	0.147	\$	3,133	\$	0.111	\$	2,089	\$	0.074
-			20,000	\$	6,389	\$			4,791	\$	0.057	\$	3,194	\$	0.038
26	R-2	Residential, Multifamily (Custom High Rise Bui	50,000	\$	8,682	\$	0.039	\$	6,511	\$	0.029	\$	4,341	\$	0.020
			100,000	\$					7,986	\$	0.023	\$		\$	0.015
			250,000	\$		\$	0.061		11,426	\$	0.046	\$	7,617	\$	0.030
-			500	\$				\$	3,339	\$	1.179	\$	2,226	\$	0.786
			2,000	\$		\$			5,107	\$	0.611	\$		\$	0.407
27	R-4	Residential, Care/Assisted Living Facilities	5,000	\$	9,254	\$	0.419		6,940	\$	0.314	\$	4,627	\$	0.210
			10,000	\$		\$	0.326	\$	8,512	\$	0.244	\$	5,674	\$	0.163
			25,000	\$		\$			12,178	\$	0.487	\$		\$	0.325
			500	\$	1,768	\$			1,326	\$	0.468	\$	884	\$	0.312
00	0.4	Danais Casas & Casaisa Ot (Including Casas)	2,000	\$			0.323 0.166	\$	2,028	\$	0.243 0.125		1,352	\$	0.162
28	S-1	Repair Garage & Service St (Including Canopy	5,000 10,000	\$ \$		\$	0.166		2,755 3,379	\$	0.125	\$	1,837 2,253	\$	0.083 0.065
			25,000	\$		\$		Φ	4,835	\$	0.193	\$	3,223	\$	0.003
-			1,000	\$		\$			1,138	\$	0.193	\$		\$	0.129
-			4,000	\$	2,321	\$	0.200	φ	1,741	\$	0.104	\$	1,160	\$	0.069
29	S-1	Storage, Moderate Hazard	10,000	\$	3,154	\$	0.071	\$	2,365	\$	0.054	\$	1,577	\$	0.036
	<u> </u>	otorage, woderate riazara	20,000	\$		\$		\$	2,901	\$	0.042	\$	1,934	\$	0.028
			50,000	\$		\$			4,151	\$	0.083	\$	2,767	\$	0.055
			1,000	\$	1,017	\$			763	\$	0.135		509	\$	0.090
-			4,000	\$		\$		\$	1,167	\$	0.070	\$	778	\$	0.047
30	S-2	Storage, Low Hazard	10,000	\$			0.048		1,586	\$	0.036	\$	1,057	\$	0.024
			20,000	\$	2,593	\$		\$	1,945	\$	0.028	\$	1,296	\$	0.019
			50,000	\$	3,710	\$		\$	2,782	\$	0.056	\$	1,855	\$	0.037
			2,000	\$	4,315	\$	0.381	\$	3,236	\$	0.286	\$	2,157	\$	0.190
			8,000	\$	6,599	\$	0.197	\$	4,949	\$	0.148	\$	3,299	\$	0.099
31	S-2	Parking Garage	20,000	\$		\$			6,726	\$	0.076	\$	4,484	\$	0.051
			40,000	\$		\$	0.079	\$	8,249	\$	0.059	\$		\$	0.039
			100,000	\$		\$	0.157		11,802	\$	0.118	\$	7,868	\$	0.079
			333	\$		\$			758	\$	0.290	\$	505	\$	0.193
			667	\$		\$	1.160		854	\$	0.870	\$	569	\$	0.580
32	U-1	Garage, Carport	1,000	\$				\$	1,144	\$	0.581	\$	763	\$	0.387
			1,667	\$		\$			1,532	\$	0.522	\$	1,021	\$	0.348
			2,500	\$	2,622	\$	1.049	\$	1,967	\$	0.787	\$	1,311	\$	0.524

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CO	NSTRUCTIO	DИ	TYPE & RAT	OF REQUIR	ED EFFORT:				
					Grou				up B:			лр С:	
						, IB			IA, VA		IIB, IIIE		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		ffort Factor:		Relative Et		ctor:
		T			2.	00		1.	.50		1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each		Each			_	ach
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	В	ase Cost	Δ,	dditional SF	Base Cost	Additional S	=	Base Cost	_	ional SF
	CCC .ypc	Codpandy	(oquaio ioot)	T -		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2400 0001	7 taantona o			, tuuit	O.
ĺ			1,000	\$	1,751	\$	0.309	\$ 1,313	\$ 0.232	2 \$	875	\$	0.154
			4,000	\$	2,678	\$	0.160	\$ 2,008	\$ 0.120			\$	0.080
33	SHELL	Shell Buildings, All Occupancy Types	10,000	\$	3,639	\$	0.082	\$ 2,729	\$ 0.062			\$	0.041
			20,000	\$	4,463	\$	0.064		\$ 0.048			\$	0.032
			50,000	\$	6,386	\$	0.128		\$ 0.096			\$	0.064
			300	\$	389	\$	0.229	\$ 292				\$	0.114
			1,200	\$	595	\$	0.119		\$ 0.089			\$	0.059
34	TI - A	Tenant Improvement - Restaurants	3,000	\$	808	\$	0.061		\$ 0.046			\$	0.030
			6,000 15,000	\$	991	\$	0.047	\$ 743	\$ 0.036 \$ 0.071			\$	0.024
			·	\$	1,418	\$	0.095					\$	0.047
-			2,000	\$ \$	395 604	\$ \$			\$ 0.105 \$ 0.054			\$	0.070 0.036
35	TI - A	Tenant Improvement - All Other A Occupancies	5,000	\$	821	\$	0.072 0.037		\$ 0.054 \$ 0.028	\$	410	\$ \$	0.036
33	11 - A	Teriani improvement - Ali Other A Occupancies	10,000	\$	1,007	\$	0.037		\$ 0.022			\$	0.019
			25,000	\$	1,440	\$	0.058		\$ 0.043			\$	0.029
			500	\$	466	\$	0.165		\$ 0.123			\$	0.082
			2,000	\$	713	\$	0.085		\$ 0.064			\$	0.043
36	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Offices/Business	5,000	\$	969	\$	0.044		\$ 0.033			\$	0.022
			10,000	\$	1,188	\$	0.034		\$ 0.026			\$	0.017
			25,000	\$	1,700	\$	0.068		\$ 0.051			\$	0.034
			500	\$	550	\$	0.194	\$ 413		\$		\$	0.097
			2,000	\$	842	\$	0.101		\$ 0.076			\$	0.050
37	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Medical Offices	5,000	\$	1,144	\$	0.052		\$ 0.039		572	\$	0.026
			10,000	\$	1,403	\$	0.040	\$ 1,052	\$ 0.030		701	\$	0.020
			25,000	\$	2,007	\$	0.080		\$ 0.060			\$	0.040
			500	\$	466	\$	0.165		\$ 0.123			\$	0.082
		T 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,000	\$	713	\$	0.085	\$ 535	\$ 0.064	\$	357	\$	0.043
38	TI - M	Tenant Improvement - Mercantile	5,000	\$	969	\$	0.044		\$ 0.033			\$	0.022
-			10,000 25,000	\$	1,188	\$	0.034	\$ 891	\$ 0.026	\$	594	\$	0.017
-				\$	1,700	\$	0.068		\$ 0.051			\$	0.034
			500	\$	466 713	\$	0.165 0.085		\$ 0.123			\$ \$	0.082
39	TI	Tenant Improvement - All Other Occupancy Tyr	2,000 5,000	\$ \$	969	\$ \$	0.085		\$ 0.064 \$ 0.033			\$	0.043 0.022
39	11	renant improvement - All Other Occupancy Ty	10,000	\$	1,188	\$	0.044		\$ 0.033			\$	0.022
			25,000	\$	1,700		0.034					\$	0.017
			25,000	Ф	1,700	ĮΦ	0.008	φ 1,∠/5	φ 0.051	ΙÞ	შეს	Φ	0.034

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CON	ISTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10 0	F REQUIRE	ED E	FFORT:				
					Grou				Grou					ıp C:	
						, IB			IIA, III				IIB, IIIB		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		Factor:		Relative Ef		actor:
		T			2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each				Each				Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	В.	ase Cost	۸,	dditional SF	D.	ase Cost	٨٨	ditional SF	_	Base Cost	_	tional SF
1 66 #	Ose Type	Occupancy	(Square reet)	100	ase 60st	Α(	uditional Si	Б	356 0031	Au	uitionai Si	_	Dase Cost	Auui	tional Si
47	R-3	Residential, One or Two-Family (Custom)	1,000	\$	815	\$	0.104	\$	611	\$	0.078	\$	407	\$	0.052
48	-	"	2,000	\$	919	\$	0.312	\$	689	\$	0.234	\$	459	\$	0.156
49	-	11	3,000	\$	1,230	\$	0.208		923	\$	0.156	\$	615	\$	0.104
	-	"	5,000	\$	1,647	\$	0.187		1,235	\$	0.140	\$	823	\$	0.094
	-	п	7,500	\$	2,115	\$	0.282		1,586	\$	0.211		1,057	\$	0.141
			1,000	\$	804	\$	0.103		603	\$	0.077	\$	402	\$	0.051
			2,000	\$	906	\$	0.308		680	\$	0.231	\$	453	\$	0.154
52	R-3	Residential - One or Two-Family (Master Plan /	3,000	\$	1,214	\$	0.205		910	\$	0.154	\$	607	\$	0.103
			5,000	\$	1,625	\$	0.185	\$	1,219	\$	0.138	\$	812	\$	0.092
			7,500	\$	2,086	\$	0.278		1,565	\$	0.209	\$	1,043	\$	0.139
			1,000	\$	57	\$			43	\$	0.005	\$	29	\$	0.004
			2,000	\$	65	\$	0.022	\$	49	\$	0.016	\$	32	\$	0.011
53	R-3	Residential - One or Two-Family (Production/R	3,000	\$	87	\$	0.015		65	\$	0.011	\$	43	\$	0.007
			5,000	\$	116	\$	0.013		87	\$	0.010	\$	58	\$	0.007
			7,500	\$	149	\$	0.020		112	\$	0.015	\$	75	\$	0.010
			167	\$	289	\$		\$	217	\$	0.166	\$	144	\$	0.111
<u>-</u>	D A d diti	Desidential Design Addition	333	\$	326	\$			244 327	\$	0.497	\$	163	\$	0.332
54	R - Addition	Residential - Room Addition	500 833	\$ \$	436 584	\$	0.443 0.398	\$	438	\$	0.332 0.298	\$	218 292	\$	0.221 0.199
			1,250	\$	750	\$	0.600		438 562	\$	0.298	\$	375	\$	0.199
			300	\$	1,556		0.800		1,167	\$	0.430	\$	778	\$	0.300
			1,200	\$	2,380	\$	0.915	φ	1,785	\$	0.356	\$	1,190	\$	0.436
55	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - Restaurants	3,000	\$	3,234	\$	0.473		2,426	\$	0.330	\$	1,190	\$	0.237
33	OALI - A	Comm. Alteration - Nestaurants	6,000	\$	3,254	\$	0.190		2,975	\$	0.103	\$	1,983	\$	0.095
			15,000	\$	5,675	\$	0.378	\$	4,256	\$	0.284	\$	2,838	\$	0.189
			500	\$	1,357	\$			1,018	\$	0.359	\$	678	\$	0.239
			2,000	\$	2,075	\$	0.473		1,556	\$	0.186	\$	1,037	\$	0.124
56	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - All Other A Occupancies	5,000	\$	2,820	\$	0.128	\$	2,115	\$	0.096	\$	1,410	\$	0.064
			10,000	\$	3,458	\$	0.099		2,594	\$	0.074	\$	1,729	\$	0.050
			25,000	\$	4,948	\$	0.198		3,711	\$	0.148	\$	2,474	\$	0.099
			500	\$	1,817	\$	0.641		1,363	\$	0.481	\$	908	\$	0.321
			2,000	\$	2,779	\$			2,084	\$	0.249	\$	1,389	\$	0.166
57	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Offices/Business	5,000	\$	3,776		0.171		2,832	\$	0.128			\$	0.086
			10,000	\$	4,631	\$	0.133		3,474	\$	0.100		2,316	\$	0.067
			25,000	\$	6,626		0.265		4,970	\$	0.199		3,313		0.133

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				C	ONSTRUCTION	ON	TYPE & RAT	IO OF REQUIR	ED	EFFORT:			
					Grou	up /	A:	Gro	up	B:	Gro	oup (	C:
						, IB		IIA, I			IIB, III		
					Relative Ef	_	t Factor:	Relative E	_		Relative E		t Factor:
		T			2.	.00		1	.50		1	1.00	
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)		Base Cost		Each	Base Cost	_	Each dditional SF	Base Cost		Each
1 00 #	Ose Type	Occupancy	(Square reet)		Dase Cost		dultional of	Dase Cost	╁	duitional of	Dase Cost	<del></del>	uditional of
			500	\$	2,318	\$	0.818	\$ 1,739	\$	0.614	\$ 1,159	\$	0.409
			2,000	\$	3,545	\$	0.424	\$ 2,659	\$	0.318	\$ 1,773	\$	0.212
58	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Medical Offices	5,000	\$	4,818	\$	0.218				\$ 2,409		0.109
			10,000	\$		\$	0.170				\$ 2,955		0.085
			25,000	\$	-,	_	0.338		\$		\$ 4,227		0.169
			500	\$	,	\$	0.642				\$ 909		0.321
			2,000	\$		\$	0.333				\$ 1,390		0.166
59	CALT - M	Comm. Alteration - Mercantile	5,000	\$	-, -	\$		\$ 2,834		00	\$ 1,889		0.086
			10,000	\$	,	\$	0.133				\$ 2,317		0.067
			25,000	\$		\$	0.265	,	_		\$ 3,315	_	0.133
			500	\$	-,	<u> </u>	0.686		\$	0.0	\$ 972		0.343
60	CALT	Comm. Alteration - All Other Occupancy Types	2,000 5,000	- \$ \$	2,972 4,038	\$ \$	0.356 0.183			0.267 0.137	\$ 1,486 \$ 2,019		0.178 0.091
00	CALI	Comm. Alteration - All Other Occupancy Types	10,000	\$	4,953		0.163				\$ 2,476		0.091
			25,000	\$		_	0.142		_				0.071

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				C	ONSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10 (	OF REQUIRE	ED I	EFFORT:				
					Grou	up / , IB			Grou				Grou		
					Relative Ef				Relative Ef				Relative Ef		
						00	i racior.			<u> 101 (</u> 50	racior.			<u>1011 1</u> 00	-actor:
					Ζ.	00			1.	<del>50</del>			1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each				Each				Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)		Base Cost	A	dditional SF	E	Base Cost	Ac	ditional SF	E	Base Cost	Add	litional SF
			(equal of teet)												
ĺ			1.000	\$	1,953	\$	0.061	\$	1,465	\$	0.045	\$	976	\$	0.030
			4,000	\$	2,135	\$	0.265		1,601	\$	0.199	\$	1,067	\$	0.132
1	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, with stage	10,000	\$		\$	0.109		2,793	\$	0.082	\$	1,862	\$	0.054
			20,000	\$			0.120	\$	3,611	\$	0.090	\$		\$	0.060
			50,000	\$	8,416	\$	0.168	\$	6,312	\$	0.126	\$	4,208	\$	0.084
			1,000	\$	1,948	\$	0.060		1,461	\$	0.045	\$	974	\$	0.030
			4,000	\$	2,129	\$	0.264	\$	1,597	\$	0.198	\$	1,065	\$	0.132
2	A-1	Assembly, Theatres, without stage	10,000	\$			0.109	\$	2,786	\$	0.082	\$	1,857	\$	0.054
			20,000	\$		\$	0.120		3,601	\$	0.090	\$	2,401	\$	0.060
			50,000	\$	-,	\$	0.168		6,296	\$	0.126	\$		\$	0.084
			500	\$		\$	0.160		1,934	\$	0.120	\$	1,289	\$	0.080
			2,000	\$	2,818	\$	0.700	\$	2,114	\$	0.525	\$	1,409	\$	0.350
		Assembly, Nightclubs, Bars, Restaurants,													
3	A-2	Banquet Halls	5,000	\$		\$	0.288		3,688	\$	0.216	\$	2,459	\$	0.144
			10,000	\$		\$	0.317		4,767	\$	0.238	\$		\$	0.159
			25,000	\$	, -		0.445		8,335	\$	0.333		5,557	\$	0.222
			1,000	\$		\$	0.082		1,976	\$	0.061	\$	1,317	\$	0.041
			4,000	\$	2,879	\$	0.357	\$	2,159	\$	0.268	\$	1,440	\$	0.179
										١.					
4	A-3	Assembly, Churches and Religious Buildings	10,000	\$			0.147		3,768	\$	0.110		2,512	\$	0.074
			20,000	\$			0.162		4,870	\$	0.121		3,247	\$	0.081
			50,000	\$	,		0.227		8,515		0.170		5,677	\$	0.114
			1,000	\$		\$	0.068		1,642	\$	0.051			\$	0.034
		Assessed Oscillation	4,000	\$	2,393	\$	0.297	\$	1,794	\$	0.223	\$	1,196	\$	0.148
_	A 0	Assembly, General, Community Halls,	40.000	Α.	4 4 7 4	φ.	0.400	Φ.	0.404	φ.	0.000	Φ.	0.007	Φ.	0.004
5	A-3	Libraries, Museums	10,000	\$		\$	0.122		3,131 4,047	\$	0.092	\$	2,087	\$ \$	0.061
			20,000 50,000	\$		\$	0.135 0.189	Φ	7,075	\$	0.101 0.142		2,698 4,717	\$	0.067 0.094
			·	\$	•		0.189		1,746	\$	0.142			\$	
			2,000			\$									0.018
	A 4 / A F	Accombly Arence	8,000	\$		\$	0.158		1,909	\$	0.118	\$	1,273	\$	0.079
6	A-4 / A-5	Assembly, Arenas	20,000	\$		\$	0.065		3,330	\$	0.049	\$	2,220	\$	0.032
			40,000 100,000	\$		\$	0.072		4,305	\$	0.054			\$	0.036
			100,000	\$	10,036	\$	0.100	\$	7,527	\$	0.075	<b>Þ</b>	5,018	ቅ	0.050

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CO	NSTRUCTIO	ON.	TYPE & RAT	10	OF REQUIRE	ED I	EFFORT:				
					Grou	up A	<b>A</b> :		Grou	ıp E	3:		Grou	ıp C:	
					IA,	, İB			IIA, III	İÀ, ۱	VA		IIB, IIIB	, IV, ۱	/B
					Relative Ef				Relative Ef	fort	: Factor:		Relative Ef	fort F	actor:
					2.	00			1	50			1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)	_	Size Basis		_		Each		_		Each		_		Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	E	Base Cost	Ac	dditional SF	Е	Base Cost	Ac	ditional SF	Е	Base Cost	Add	itional SF
			2,000	\$	2,708		0.042		2,031	\$	0.031	\$	1,354	\$	0.021
_			8,000	\$	2,960		0.184		2,220	\$	0.138	\$		\$	0.092
7	E	Educational Building	20,000	\$	5,165		0.076		3,874	\$	0.057	\$		\$	0.038
			40,000	\$	6,677		0.083		5,008	\$	0.062		3,338		0.042
			100,000	\$	11,673		0.117		8,755	\$	0.088		5,836		0.058
			1,000	\$	2,040	\$	0.063		1,530	\$	0.047			\$	0.032
			4,000	\$	2,230	\$	0.277	\$	1,673	\$	0.208	\$	1,115	\$	0.138
	E 4 / E 0	Factory and Industrial (Low and Moderate	40.000		0.004		0.444	_	0.040	_	0.00=	_	4 0 4 0	•	0.055
8	F-1 / F-2	Hazard)	10,000	\$	3,891	\$	0.114		2,918		0.085		1,946	\$	0.057
-			20,000 50,000	\$	5,030 8,794		0.125 0.176		3,772 6,595	\$	0.094 0.132			\$	0.063
-		<u> </u>		\$						\$					
-			500 2,000	\$	2,010 2,197	\$	0.125 0.545	4	1,508 1,648	\$	0.093 0.409	\$		\$ \$	0.062 0.273
9	Н	All H Occupancies	5,000	\$	3,833		0.343		2,875	\$	0.409	\$	1,099	\$ \$	0.273
9	П	All H Occupancies	10,000	\$	4,955	\$	0.224		3,716	\$	0.185	\$	2,477	\$ \$	0.112
-			25,000	\$	8,663	\$	0.247	9	6,497	\$	0.163	\$		\$	0.124
			1,000	\$	1,558	\$	0.048		1,168	\$	0.036	\$	779	\$	0.024
-			4,000	\$	1,703	\$	0.048		1,100	\$	0.050	\$	851	\$	0.106
10	I-1	Institutional, Supervised Environment	10,000	\$	2,971	\$	0.087	\$	2,228	\$	0.065	\$	1,486	\$	0.043
10		montational, capervised Environment	20,000	\$	3,841	\$	0.096		2,880	\$	0.072	\$	1,920	\$	0.048
			50,000	\$	6,715	\$	0.134		5,036	\$	0.101	\$	3,357	\$	0.067
			1,000	\$		\$	0.028	\$	686	\$	0.021	\$	458	\$	0.014
			4.000	\$	1,000	\$	0.124		750	\$	0.093	\$	500	\$	0.062
11	I-2	Institutional, Hospitals, Nursing Homes	10,000	\$	1,745		0.051		1,309	\$	0.038		873	\$	0.026
			20,000	\$	2,256	\$	0.056		1,692	\$	0.042	\$	1,128	\$	0.028
			50,000	\$	3,944	\$	0.079		2,958	\$	0.059	\$	1,972	\$	0.039
			5,000	\$	937	\$	0.006	\$	703	\$	0.004	\$	468	\$	0.003
-			20,000	\$	1,024	\$	0.025		768	\$	0.019		512	\$	0.013
12	I-3	Institutional, Restratined	50,000	\$	1,787	\$	0.010		1,340	\$	0.008	\$	893	\$	0.005
			100,000	\$	2,310	\$	0.012	\$	1,732	\$	0.009	\$		\$	0.006
			250,000	\$	4,038	\$	0.016	\$	3,028	\$	0.012	\$		\$	0.008
13	В	Offices, etc Complete	500	\$	2,282		0.142		1,712	\$	0.106	\$	1,141	\$	0.071
14	II .	"	2,000	\$	2,495		0.619		1,871	\$	0.464	\$	1,247	\$	0.310
15	"	II .	5,000	\$	4,353		0.255		3,264	\$	0.191			\$	0.127
16	"	H H	10,000	\$	5,626	\$	0.281	\$	4,220	\$	0.211	\$	2,813	\$	0.140

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CC	ONSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10	OF REQUIRE	ED I	EFFORT:				
					Grou		<b>\</b> :		Grou					ıp C:	
					IA,				IIA, III				IIB, IIIB		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		Factor:		Relative Ef		actor:
		<del>_</del>			2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
							_								
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis			١.	Each	١.		١.	Each	_			Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)		Base Cost	Ac	dditional SF		Base Cost	Ad	Iditional SF	E	Base Cost	Add	itional SF
17	"	l II	25,000	\$	9,837	\$	0.393	¢	7,378	\$	0.295	\$	4,918	\$	0.197
17			5,000	\$			0.046		5,563	\$	0.293		3,709		0.023
			20,000	\$			0.040		6,081	\$	0.034	\$		\$ \$	0.023
18	В	Offices (High Rise)	50,000	\$		\$	0.083		10,609	\$	0.151		7,072	\$ \$	0.101
10		Offices (Flight Nise)	100,000	\$		\$		\$	13,713	\$	0.068	\$	9,142	\$	0.041
			250,000	\$		\$	0.128		23,975	\$	0.008	\$	15,984	\$	0.040
			500	\$		\$	0.120		1,322	\$	0.082	\$	881	\$	0.055
			2,000	\$		\$	0.109	Φ	1,445	\$	0.359	\$	963	\$ \$	0.033
19	В	Medical Offices	5,000	\$		\$	0.478		2,521	\$	0.339	\$	1,681	\$	0.239
19		Medical Offices	10,000	\$		\$	0.197		3,259	\$	0.148	\$	2,173	\$	0.108
			25,000	\$		\$	0.304		5,698	\$	0.103	\$	3,799	\$	0.152
			2,000	\$		\$	0.037		1,790	\$	0.028	\$	1,194	\$	0.132
			8,000	\$		\$	0.162		1,790	\$	0.028	\$		\$	0.019
20	I-4	Institutional, Day Care Facilities	20,000	\$		\$	0.102	\$	3,414	\$	0.050	\$	2,276	\$	0.033
20		motitutional, Day Gare i delitties	40,000	\$		\$	0.073		4,413	\$	0.055	\$	2,942	\$	0.037
			100,000	\$		\$	0.103		7,716	\$	0.033	\$		\$	0.051
			500	\$		\$		\$	1,104	\$	0.068	\$	736	\$	0.046
			2,000	\$		\$	0.399		1,104	\$	0.300	\$	804	\$	0.200
21	M	Mercantile	5,000	\$		\$	0.164		2,105	\$	0.123	\$	1,403	\$	0.082
	IVI	Wercantile	10,000	\$		\$	0.181	\$	2,721	\$	0.123	\$	1,814	\$	0.002
			25,000	\$		\$	0.254		4,758	\$	0.190	\$	3,172	\$	0.127
			2,000	\$		\$	0.095		4,593	\$	0.071	\$		\$	0.047
			8,000	\$		\$	0.095		5,020	\$	0.312		3,347	\$	0.208
22	R-1	Residential, Hotel, Motel (Low/Mod Rise)	20,000	\$		\$	0.171		8,758	\$	0.128	\$		\$	0.085
	11/-1	Residential, Hotel, Motel (Low/Mod Rise)	40,000	\$		\$	0.171		11,322	\$	0.120	\$	7,548	\$	0.003
			100,000	\$		\$	0.264	Ψ.	19,793	\$	0.198	\$	13,196	\$	0.132
			5,000	\$		\$	0.057		6,882	\$	0.043	\$		\$	0.028
			20,000	\$		\$	0.037		7,522	\$	0.043	\$	5,014	\$	0.028
23	R-1	Resdiential, Hotel, Motel (High Rise)	50,000	\$		\$	0.102		13,123	\$	0.107	\$	8,749	\$	0.051
	11.1	reservation, riotor, motor (riight rioto)	100,000	\$		\$	0.102		16,964	\$	0.085	\$	11,309	\$	0.056
			250,000	\$		\$	0.118		29,658	\$	0.000			\$	0.030
			500	\$			0.414		5,007	\$	0.113	\$		\$	0.207
			2,000	\$		\$	1.811		5,473	\$	1.358			\$	0.906
		Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise	2,000	-	1,201	Ψ	1.011	۳	5,475	Ψ	1.000	Ψ	5,0-10	Ψ	0.000
24	R-2	Custom or Model Building)	5,000	\$	12,731	\$	0.745	\$	9,548	\$	0.559	\$	6,365	\$	0.373
			0,000		-,		20	. ~	0,0.0		2.000		2,000		

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CO	NSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10 C	F REQUIRE	ED I	EFFORT:				
					Grou				Grou					ıp C:	
					Relative Ef	, IB			IIA, III Relative Ef				IIB, IIIB Relative Ef		
						101 00	t Factor:		Relative En		ractor:			<u>10ητ F</u> 00	actor:
				+	Ζ.	00			1.	50			1.		
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each				Each				Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	E	Base Cost	Ad	dditional SF	В	ase Cost	Ad	Iditional SF	E	Base Cost		itional SF
İ			10,000	\$	16,457	\$	0.821	\$	12,343	\$	0.616	\$	8,228	\$	0.410
			25,000	\$	28,771	\$	1.151		21,579	\$	0.863			\$	0.575
			500	\$	3,402		0.211		2,551	\$	0.158			\$	0.105
			2,000	\$	3,718		0.923		2,789	\$	0.692			\$	0.461
		Residential, Multifamily (Low/Mod Rise													
25	R-2	Production/Repeat Building)	5,000	\$	6,487	\$	0.380		4,865	\$	0.285		3,244	\$	0.190
			10,000	\$	8,386	\$	0.418		6,289	\$	0.314	\$		\$	0.209
			25,000	\$	14,661		0.586		10,996	\$	0.440		,	\$	0.293
			5,000	\$	8,916		0.055		6,687		0.041		4,458		0.028
			20,000	\$	9,745	\$	0.242	\$	7,309	\$	0.181	\$	4,873	\$	0.121
		Residential, Multifamily (Custom High Rise													
26	R-2	Building)	50,000	\$	17,003		0.100		12,752	\$	0.075		8,501	\$	0.050
			100,000	\$	21,979	\$	0.110		16,484	\$	0.082		10,989	\$	0.055
			250,000	\$	38,426		0.154		28,819	\$	0.115			\$	0.077
			500	\$	4,064	\$	0.252	\$	3,048	\$	0.189			\$	0.126
			2,000	\$	4,442		1.103		3,331	\$	0.827	\$	2,221	\$	0.551
27	R-4	Residential, Care/Assisted Living Facilities	5,000	\$	7,750		0.454			\$	0.340			\$	0.227
			10,000	\$	10,018		0.500	\$	7,513	\$	0.375	\$		\$	0.250
			25,000	\$	17,514		0.701		13,136	\$	0.525	\$		\$	0.350
			500	\$	1,515		0.094		1,136	\$	0.070	\$	757	\$	0.047
		Danair Carago & Camina Ct (Including	2,000	\$	1,656	\$	0.411	\$	1,242	\$	0.308	\$	828	\$	0.206
28	S-1	Repair Garage & Service St (Including	5,000	•	2 000	φ.	0.160	œ	2,167	ď	0.127	φ.	1 111	\$	0.085
20	3-1	Canopy)	10,000	\$	2,889 3,735	\$	0.169 0.186	\$	2,107	\$	0.127	\$	1,444 1,867	\$	0.003
			25,000	\$	6,529		0.160		4,897	\$	0.140	\$		\$	0.093
-			1,000	\$	1,459	\$	0.201		1,094	\$	0.034		730	\$	0.023
			4,000	\$	1,595	\$	0.198		1,196	\$	0.034		798	\$	0.023
29	S-1	Storage, Moderate Hazard	10,000	\$	2,783	\$	0.190		2,087	\$	0.061	\$	1,391	\$	0.033
20	0-1	Otorage, moderate riazara	20,000	\$	3,597		0.090		2,698	\$	0.067		1,799	\$	0.041
			50,000	\$	6,289	\$	0.126		4,717	\$	0.007	\$	3,145	\$	0.063
			1,000	\$	1,348	\$	0.042		1,011	\$	0.031	\$		\$	0.021
			4,000	\$	1,473		0.183		1,105	\$	0.137	\$	737	\$	0.091
30	S-2	Storage, Low Hazard	10,000	\$	2,570		0.075		1,928	\$	0.056		1,285	\$	0.038
		<b>3</b> -,	20,000	\$	3,323		0.083		2,492	\$	0.062		1,661	\$	0.041
			50,000	\$	5,809	\$	0.116		4,357	\$	0.087	\$		\$	0.058

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				C	ONSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10	OF REQUIR	ED I	EFFORT:				
					Grou		<b>A</b> :		Grou					ıp C:	
					IA,			<u> </u>	IIA, II				IIB, IIIB		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		Factor:		Relative Ef		actor:
		T	1		2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Cook				Fach				Each
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)		Base Cost		Each	١.	Base Cost	٨٨	Each Iditional SF		Base Cost		Eacn itional SF
ree #	USE Type	Occupancy	(Square leet)		Dase Cost	A	dullional SF	-	Dase Cost	Au	iuitional SF		base Cost	Auu	llional SF
			2,000	\$	2,611	\$	0.040	\$	1,959	\$	0.030	\$	1,306	\$	0.020
			8,000	\$		\$	0.177		2,141	\$	0.133	\$	1,427	\$	0.020
31	S-2	Parking Garage	20,000	\$			0.073		3,735	\$	0.055	\$	2,490	\$	0.036
			40,000	\$		\$	0.080		4,828	\$	0.060	\$	3,219	\$	0.040
			100,000	\$		\$	0.113		8,441	\$	0.084	\$	5,627	\$	0.056
			333	\$		\$	0.119		410	\$	0.089	\$	273	\$	0.059
			667	\$		\$	0.313	\$	439	\$	0.235	\$	293	\$	0.156
32	U-1	Garage, Carport	1,000	\$		\$	0.206		518	\$	0.154	\$	345	\$	0.103
			1,667	\$		\$	0.354	\$	620	\$	0.265	\$	414	\$	0.177
			2,500	\$		\$	0.449		842	\$	0.337	\$	561	\$	0.224
			1,000	\$		\$	0.041		1,000	\$	0.031	\$	667	\$	0.021
			4,000	\$		\$	0.181	\$	1,093	\$	0.136	\$	729	\$	0.090
33	SHELL	Shell Buildings, All Occupancy Types	10,000	\$		\$	0.074	\$	1,907	\$	0.056	\$	1,271	\$	0.037
			20,000	\$		\$	0.082		2,465	\$	0.061	\$	1,643	\$	0.041
			50,000	\$		\$	0.115		4,309	\$	0.086	\$	2,873	\$	0.057
			300	\$		\$	0.157		1,136	\$	0.117	\$	757	\$	0.078
24	TI ^	Topont Improvement Destaurants	1,200	\$		\$	0.685 0.282		1,242 2,167	\$	0.514 0.211	\$	828	\$	0.343
34	TI - A	Tenant Improvement - Restaurants	3,000 6,000	\$ \$		\$	0.282		2,167	\$	0.211	\$	1,444 1,867	\$	0.141 0.155
			15,000	- \$		\$	0.435		4,897	\$	0.233	\$	3,265	э \$	0.155
		1	500	\$		\$	0.433	\$	932	\$	0.058	\$	622	\$	0.039
			2,000	\$		\$	0.337		1,019	\$	0.056	\$	679	\$	0.039
		Tenant Improvement - All Other A	2,000	Ψ	1,009	Ψ	0.557	Ψ	1,019	Ψ	0.233	Ψ	013	Ψ	0.109
35	TI - A	Occupancies	5,000	\$	2,371	\$	0.139	\$	1,778	\$	0.104	\$	1,185	\$	0.069
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	10,000	\$	3,065		0.153		2,299	\$	0.115	\$	1,532	\$	0.076
			25,000	\$		\$	0.214		4,019	\$	0.161	\$		\$	0.107
			500	\$		\$	0.116		1,399	\$	0.087	\$	932	\$	0.058
			2,000	\$		\$	0.506		1,529	\$	0.379	\$	1,019	\$	0.253
36	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Offices/Business	5,000	\$		\$	0.208	\$	2,667	\$	0.156	\$		\$	0.104
			10,000	\$	4,597	\$	0.229	\$	3,448	\$	0.172	\$	2,299	\$	0.115
			25,000	\$	·	\$	0.321		6,028	\$	0.241	\$	4,019	\$	0.161
			500	\$		\$	0.129		1,566	\$	0.097	\$	1,044	\$	0.065
			2,000	\$		\$	0.566		1,711	\$	0.425	\$	1,141	\$	0.283
37	TI - B	Tenant Improvement - Medical Offices	5,000	\$			0.233	\$	2,986	\$		\$		\$	0.117
			10,000	\$	5,146	\$	0.257	\$	3,860	\$	0.193	\$	2,573	\$	0.128

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				CONSTRUCTION TYPE & RATIO OF REQUIRED EFFORT:  Group A: Group B: Group C:											
														-	
						, IB		IIA, I				IIB, IIIB			
					Relative Ef		t Factor:	Relative E				Relative Ef		actor:	
		1	I	_	Ζ.	00		1	.50	<u> </u>		7.	00		
	ICC (UBC)		Size Basis				Each			Each				Each	
Fee #	Use Type	Occupancy	(square feet)	١.	Base Cost	١,,	dditional SF	Base Cost	١,	dditional SF		Base Cost		itional SF	
1 00 #	Ose Type	Occupancy	(Square reet)	<del>                                     </del>	Jase Cost		duttional of	Dase Cost	-	dultional of	-	Jase Cost	Auu	itional Si	
-			25,000	\$	8,997	\$	0.360	\$ 6,748	\$	0.270	\$	4,499	\$	0.180	
			500	\$	2,060	\$	0.128				\$	1,030	\$	0.064	
			2,000	\$	2,251	\$	0.559				\$	1,126	\$	0.279	
38	TI - M	Tenant Improvement - Mercantile	5,000	\$	3,928		0.230						\$	0.115	
			10,000	\$	5,078		0.253	\$ 3,808			\$		\$	0.127	
			25,000	\$	8,877		0.355				\$	4,439		0.178	
			500	\$	2,023	\$	0.125				\$		\$	0.063	
			2,000	\$	2,211	\$	0.549	\$ 1,658	\$	0.412	\$	1,106	\$	0.274	
		Tenant Improvement - All Other Occupancy													
39	TI	Types	5,000	\$	3,858	\$	0.226	\$ 2,893			\$	1,929	\$	0.113	
			10,000	\$	4,987	\$	0.249				\$	2,493	\$	0.124	
			25,000	\$	8,719	\$	0.349				\$	4,359	\$	0.174	
47	R-3	Residential, One or Two-Family (Custom)	1,000	\$	2,779	\$	0.202				\$	1,390	\$	0.101	
48	-	"	2,000	\$	2,981	\$	0.531				\$	1,490	\$	0.265	
49		"	3,000	\$	3,512	\$	0.349	\$ 2,634	\$		\$		\$	0.175	
	-	"	5,000	\$	4,210	\$	0.600				\$	2,105	\$	0.300	
	-		7,500	\$	5,711	\$	0.762				\$		\$	0.381	
			1,000	\$	1,875	\$	0.136					938	\$	0.068	
		D :1 :: 1 0 T F ::	2,000	\$	2,011	\$	0.358	\$ 1,509	\$	0.269	\$	1,006	\$	0.179	
	5.0	Residential - One or Two-Family	0.000		0.000		0.000		1		_	4 405		0.440	
53	R-3	(Production/Repeat/Standard Plan Infill)	3,000	\$	2,369	\$	0.236	\$ 1,777	\$		\$	1,185	\$	0.118	
			5,000 7,500	\$	2,841	\$	0.405				\$	1,420	\$	0.203	
				\$	3,854	\$	0.514				\$	1,927	\$	0.257	
			167	\$	1,254	\$	0.546				\$	627	\$	0.273	
<i> - - - - - - - - -</i>	D A d dition	Decidential Decre Addition	333	\$	1,344	\$	1.436				\$	672	\$	0.718	
54	R - Addition	Residential - Room Addition	500	\$	1,584 1,899	\$	0.945 1.625				\$	792	\$	0.473	
			833 1,250	\$	2,576	\$ \$		\$ 1,424 \$ 1,932			\$	949	\$	0.812	
			·	\$							\$	1,288	\$	1.030	
			300	\$		\$	0.042				\$	204	\$	0.021	
	CALT	Common Alteration Destaurants	1,200	\$		\$	0.185	\$ 335			\$	223	\$	0.092	
55	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - Restaurants	3,000	\$		\$	0.076				\$	389	\$	0.038	
			6,000 15,000	\$	1,006	\$	0.084					503	\$	0.042	
			15,000	\$	1,759	\$	0.117	\$ 1,320	\$	0.088	\$	880	\$	0.059	

## **Building and Safety Services Division**

				СО	NSTRUCTIO	NC	TYPE & RAT	10	OF REQUIR	ED EFFC	DRT:				
					Grou					ір В:				up C:	
						, IB			IIA, II				IIB, IIIB		
					Relative Ef		t Factor:		Relative Ef		tor:		Relative Ef		actor:
		1	1		2.	00			1.	50			1.	00	
Fee #	ICC (UBC) Use Type	Occupancy	Size Basis (square feet)	В	ase Cost	A	Each dditional SF		Base Cost	Ea Additio		E	Base Cost		Each itional SF
			500	\$	408	\$	0.025	\$	306	\$	0.019	\$	204	\$	0.013
-			2.000	\$	446	\$		\$	335	\$	0.083	\$	223	\$	0.055
			2,000	1	110	<b>—</b> *	0.111	Ψ		Ψ	0.000	Ι τ			
56	CALT - A	Comm. Alteration - All Other A Occupancies	5,000	\$	779	\$	0.046		584	\$	0.034	\$	389	\$	0.023
			10,000	\$	1,006		0.050		755	\$	0.038		503	\$	0.025
			25,000	\$	1,759	\$	0.070	\$	1,320	\$	0.053	\$	880	\$	0.035
			500	\$	390	\$	0.024		292	\$	0.018		195	\$	0.012
			2,000	\$	426	\$	0.106	\$	319	\$	0.079	\$	213	\$	0.053
57	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Offices/Business	5,000	\$	743	\$	0.043		557	\$	0.033	\$	372	\$	0.022
			10,000	\$	961	\$	0.048		720	\$	0.036	\$	480	\$	0.024
			25,000	\$	1,679	\$	0.067	\$	1,260	\$	0.050	\$	840	\$	0.034
			500	\$	612	\$	0.038	\$	459	\$	0.028	\$	306	\$	0.019
			2,000	\$	669	\$	0.166		502	\$	0.125	\$	335	\$	0.083
58	CALT - B	Comm. Alteration - Medical Offices	5,000	\$	1,168	\$	0.068		876	\$	0.051	\$	584	\$	0.034
			10,000	\$	1,510	\$	0.075	\$	1,132	\$	0.056	\$	755	\$	0.038
			25,000	\$	2,639	\$	0.106	\$	1,979	\$	0.079	\$	1,320	\$	0.053
			500	\$	612	\$	0.038	\$	459	\$	0.028	\$	306	\$	0.019
			2,000	\$	669	\$	0.166	\$	502	\$	0.125	\$	335	\$	0.083
59	CALT - M	Comm. Alteration - Mercantile	5,000	\$	1,168	\$	0.068		876	\$	0.051	\$	584	\$	0.034
			10,000	\$	1,510	\$	0.075	\$	1,132	\$	0.056	\$	755	\$	0.038
			25,000	\$	2,639	\$	0.106	\$	1,979	\$	0.079	\$	1,320	\$	0.053
			500	\$	612	\$	0.038	\$	459	\$	0.028	\$	306	\$	0.019
			2,000	\$	669	\$	0.166	\$	502	\$	0.125	\$	335	\$	0.083
60	CALT	Comm. Alteration - All Other Occupancy Types	5,000	\$	1,168		0.068		876	\$	0.051		584	\$	0.034
			10,000	\$	1,510		0.075		1,132	\$	0.056		755	\$	0.038
			25,000	\$	2,639	\$	0.106	\$	1,979	\$	0.079	\$	1,320	\$	0.053

# **ATTACHMENT C**

Cost Recovery Report Table – Building and Safety Division

Miscellaneous Items

**Building and Safety Services Division** 

#### RESULTS ANALYSIS - MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

	Fee Service Information			Plai	n Check Full C	st Results	(Unit)	)	Ins	pection Full C	ost Results (Uni	t)			Total Full Cost	Results (Unit)	•
Fee#	Fee Title	Annual Revenue Activity Level (PC & Insp.)		Current Fee / Deposit	Full Cost per Unit	Surplu: (Subsidy) Unit	per	Full Cost Recovery Rate	Current Fee /	Full Cost pe Unit	Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate		Current Fee /	Unit	Surplus / (Subsidy) per Unit	Rate
1_	Cellular/Mobile Phone, w/o Equip Shelter	-		\$ -	\$ 494		494)	0%	\$ -	\$ 504				\$ -	\$ 998	\$ (998	
2		-			\$ 676		676)	0%	\$ -	\$ 698		0%		\$ -	\$ 1,374		
3	Change of Occupancy Inspection	-			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -		\$ (637)	0%		S -	\$ 663	\$ (663	) 0%
4	Commercial Coach (per unit)	-			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 327		0%		\$ -	\$ 353		
	Deck / Patio (non-engineered)	-			\$ 130		130)	0%	\$ -		\$ (186)			\$ -	\$ 316		
	Deck / Patio (engineered)	-			\$ 459		459)	0%	\$ -		\$ (186)		_	\$ -	\$ 645	\$ (645	
	Demolition - Residential	17			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0% 0%	\$ 41		\$ (92)			\$ 41 \$ 41	\$ 159		) 26%
8		14			\$ 26	\$	(26)		\$ 41 \$ -	\$ 159			_				
10	Fence or Wall (wood, chain link, wrought iron) >6 feet in height, 1st 100 lf		_		\$ - \$ 130	\$	130)	0% 0%	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ 133	\$ -	0%		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ 263	\$ -	0%
11	Each additional 100 lf				\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -		\$ (124)		-	\$ -	\$ 124		
12	Fence or Freestanding Wall (masonry / garden)				\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (124)	0%		š -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
13	City Standard, 1st 100 l.f.				\$ 78	s s	(78)	0%	\$ -		\$ (239)			s -	\$ 317		
14	Each additional 100 lf				\$ -	S	-	0%	\$ -		S (177)			S -	\$ 177		
15	Engineered Wall, 1st 100 lf				\$ 130		130)	0%	\$ -		\$ (186)			\$ -	\$ 316		
16	Each additional 100 lf				\$ -	s	-	0%	\$ -	\$ 71				s -	\$ 71		
17	Flag pole (greater than 6 feet in height)	-			\$ 130		130)	0%	\$ -		\$ (71)			s -	\$ 201		
18		-			\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ -	S -	0%		S -	\$ -	s -	0%
	0-100 Cubic Yards (Cut or Fill - whichever is			_	-					•				-	-	-	
19	greater)	-		\$ 88	\$ 451	\$	363)	20%	\$ 131	\$ -	\$ 131	0%		\$ 219	\$ 451	\$ (232	) 49%
20	101-1,000 CY	-		\$ 131	\$ 555	\$	424)	24%	\$ 476	\$ -	\$ 476	0%		\$ 607	\$ 555	\$ 52	109%
21	1,001-10,000 CY	-		\$ 174	\$ 659	\$	485)	26%	\$ 735	\$ -	\$ 735	0%		\$ 909	\$ 659	\$ 250	138%
22	10,001 CY and over	38			\$ 815		641)	21%	\$ 1,045		\$ 1,045			\$ 1,219			
26	Res. Remodel	-			\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%		s -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
27	first 500 s.f.	679	_		\$ 121	\$	17	114%	\$ 210					\$ 348		\$ (127	
28	each additional 500 s.f.	-			\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ 151		0%		S -	\$ 151		
29	Partition - Commercial, Interior (first 30 l.f.)	-			\$ 130		130)	0%	\$ -		\$ (97)			S -	\$ 227		
30	each add'l. 30 l.f.	-		s -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ 71	\$ (71)	0%	_	\$ -	\$ 71	\$ (71	) 0%
31	Residential Photovoltaic System (first 10 panels)			s -	\$ 78	s	(78)	0%	s -	\$ 111	\$ (111)	0%		s -	\$ 189	\$ (189	) 0%
32	(ea. Add'l. 10 panels)				\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ 85				s -	\$ 85		
33		-			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 154				s -	\$ 180		
34	Relocated Building (within City limits)	3			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ 450	\$ 335				\$ 450	\$ 361		
35	Retaining Wall (concrete or masonry)	-		S -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ -	S -	0%		s -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
36	First 50 If	-			\$ 130	\$	130)	0%	\$ -	\$ 133				s -	\$ 263	\$ (263	
37	Each additional 50 If	-		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ 18	\$ (18)			\$ -	\$ 18	\$ (18	) 0%
41	Re-roofing	-		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%		S -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
42	Composition - no tear off	1,361			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ 92	\$ 44				\$ 92	\$ 70	\$ 22	
43	Other roofs (first 10 squares)	-			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 71				\$ -	\$ 97		
44	Each additional 10 squares	-			\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ 18				S -	\$ 18		
45	Roof Structure Replace / Repair	-			\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%
46	<2,000 sf	-			\$ 459		459)	0%	\$ -	\$ 194			_	\$ -	\$ 654	\$ (654	
47	>2,001 sf	-			\$ 459		459)	0%	\$ -	\$ 389		0%		\$ -	\$ 849		) 0%
48 49	Signs				\$ -	\$	-	0% 471%	\$ - \$ 196	\$ - \$ 97	\$ -			\$ -	\$ - \$ 132	\$ - \$ 227	0% 272%
49 50	w/ electric	32			\$ 35 \$ 35	\$	128	228%					_	\$ 359			
53	w/o electric Storage Racks	188			\$ 35 \$ -	\$	44	0%	\$ 105 \$ -	\$ 62 \$ -	\$ 43 \$ -	169% 0%	-	\$ 184 \$ -	\$ 97 \$ -	\$ 87 \$ -	190% 0%
54	<8 ft, first 100 lf				\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 71				\$ -	\$ 97	\$ (97	
55	each add'l. 100 lf				\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 53				\$ -	\$ 79	\$ (97	
56	8 - 12 ft. first 100 lf				\$ 26		(26)	0%	s -		\$ (150)			S -	\$ 176		
57	8 - 12 ft, ea add'l. 100 lf				\$ 26		(26)	0%	\$ -		\$ (80)			\$ -	\$ 106		
58	>12 ft, first 100 lf	_			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 177				\$ -	\$ 203		
59	>12 ft, ea. Add'l 100 lf				\$ 26	S	(26)	0%	s -		\$ (53)			S -	\$ 79		
60	Swimming Pool / Spa - Residential	101			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ 419		\$ 140			\$ 419	\$ 305		
63	Residential Temp. Util. or Occ.	-			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -		\$ (90)			\$ -	\$ 116		
64		-			\$ 26	\$	(26)	0%	\$ -	\$ 336	\$ (336)	0%		\$ -	\$ 362		
66	Swimming Pool - Standard Plan - Res.				\$ 78	\$	(78)	0%	\$ -	\$ -	S -	0%		s -	\$ 78	\$ (78	) 0%
67	Swimming Pool - Standard Plan - Comm.	-		\$ -	\$ 78	\$	(78)	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%		\$ -	\$ 78		
	TOTALS:																

**Building and Safety Services Division** 

#### RESULTS ANALYSIS - MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

	Fee Service Information				Ço:	st Results (Anı	nua	I - All Service	es)					enue Resul	ts (I	ee Servic	es Only)
				Projected		Designate d						Projected		rojected			
		Annual		Annual		Projected		Annual	Full Cost		١.	Annual		Annual evenue at		Annual Revenue	Full Cost
		Revenue		Revenue at Current Fee /	_	Annual		Revenue				levenue at		evenue at Il Cost per			
Fee#	Fee Title	(PC & Insp.)		Deposit		evenue at Full Cost per Unit		Surplus / (Subsidy)	Recovery Rate		L	urrent Fee / Deposit	Fu	Unit		urplus / Subsidy)	Recovery Rate
1	Cellular/Mobile Phone, w/o Equip Shelter	(PC & IIISp.)		S -	S		\$	(Subsidy)	0%		s	Deposit	s	Unit	\$	oubsiuy)	0%
2	Cellular Tower with Equipment Shelter	-	_	s -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$		\$	<del></del>	\$	-	0%
3	Change of Occupancy Inspection	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		s		s	<del></del>	\$		0%
4	Commercial Coach (per unit)	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		S		s	<del></del>	\$	-	0%
5	Deck / Patio (non-engineered)	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
6	Deck / Patio (engineered)	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		s		s		\$	-	0%
7	Demolition - Residential	17		\$ 691	\$		\$	(1,983)	26%		\$	691	\$	2,674	\$	(1,983)	26%
8	Demolition - MultiFamily/Commercial	14		\$ 584	\$		\$	(2,056)	22%		\$	584	\$	2,641	\$	(2,056)	22%
9	Fence or Wall (wood, chain link, wrought iron)	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
10	>6 feet in height, 1st 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
11	Each additional 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
12	Fence or Freestanding Wall (masonry / garden)	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
13	City Standard, 1st 100 l.f.	-		S -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
14	Each additional 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
15	Engineered Wall, 1st 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$		0%
16	Each additional 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
17	Flag pole (greater than 6 feet in height)	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
18	Grading (Cut and Fill) - Plan Check + As-Grade	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$		0%
	0-100 Cubic Yards (Cut or Fill - whichever is				1						١.						1
19	greater)	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
20	101-1,000 CY	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
21_	1,001-10,000 CY	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
22	10,001 CY and over	38		\$ 45,815	\$		\$	15,201	150%		\$	45,815	\$	30,614	\$	15,201	150%
26	Res. Remodel	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%	_	\$		\$	<del></del>	\$	-	0%
27	first 500 s.f.	679		\$ 236,328	\$		\$	(86,004)	73%	_	\$	236,328	\$	322,332	\$	(86,004)	73%
28	each additional 500 s.f.	-	_	<u>s</u> -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$		\$		\$	-	0%
29	Partition - Commercial, Interior (first 30 l.f.)	-	_	\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
30	each add'l. 30 l.f.	-	_	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
31	Desidential Distance Hair Ocean (fort 40 annula)			s -			\$		0%				s				0%
32	Residential Photovoltaic System (first 10 panels)  (ea. Add'l. 10 panels)	-	_	\$ -	\$		\$		0%		\$		\$		\$		0%
33				\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$						0%
33	Stucco / Siding Applications - each Relocated Building (within City limits)	- 3	-	\$ 1.166	\$		\$	229	124%		\$	1.166	\$	937	\$	229	124%
35	Retaining Wall (concrete or masonry)	- 3	-	\$ 1,100	\$		\$	229	0%		\$	1,100	\$	- 931	\$	- 229	0%
36	First 50 If		_	s -	\$		\$		0%		S		s	<del></del>	\$		0%
37	Each additional 50 lf	-		s -	\$		\$		0%		S		S		\$		0%
41	Re-roofing	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$		\$		\$	-	0%
42	Composition - no tear off	1.361		\$ 125,194	\$		\$	29.725	131%		s	125,194	s	95,469	\$	29.725	131%
43	Other roofs (first 10 squares)			\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
44	Each additional 10 squares	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
45	Roof Structure Replace / Repair	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$		0%
46	<2,000 sf	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		s	-	s		\$		0%
47	>2.001 sf	-		S -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		s	-	s		\$		0%
48	Signs	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
49	w/ electric	32		\$ 11,632	\$	4,276	\$	7,356	272%		\$	11,632	\$	4,276	\$	7,356	272%
50	w/o electric	188		\$ 34,577	\$	18,157	\$	16,420	190%		\$	34,577	\$	18,157	\$	16,420	190%
53	Storage Racks	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
54	<8 ft, first 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
55	each add'l. 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
56	8 - 12 ft, first 100 lf	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
57	8 - 12 ft, ea add'l. 100 lf	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
58_	>12 ft, first 100 lf	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
59	>12 ft, ea. Add'l 100 lf	-		S -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
60	Swimming Pool / Spa - Residential	101		\$ 42,356	\$		\$	11,504	137%		\$	42,356	\$	30,851	\$	11,504	137%
63	Residential Temp. Util. or Occ.	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
64	Commercial Temp. Util. or Occ.	-		<u>\$ -</u>	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$	-	0%
66	Swimming Pool - Standard Plan - Res.	-		<u>s - </u>	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
67	Swimming Pool - Standard Plan - Comm.	-		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	TOTALS:		Ļ	\$ 498,343	\$		\$	(9,607)	98%		\$	498,343	\$	507,950	\$	(9,607)	98%
	Revenue Totals													Revenue	Tota	als	

# **ATTACHMENT D**

Cost Recovery Report Table – Building and Safety Division

Mechanical, Plumbing and Electrical Items

Results MPE

#### City of Fresno USER FEE STUDY

**Building and Safety Services Division** 

#### RESULTS ANALYSIS - MPE ITEMS

	Fee Service Information		Plan Check Full Cost Results (Unit) Inspection Fu								tion Full Co	II Cost Results (Unit)					
Fee#	Fee Title	Annual Revenue Activity Level (PC & Insp.)		Cı	urrent Fee / Deposit	Fu	II Cost per Unit		Surplus / ubsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate	С	urrent Fee / Deposit	Ful	l Cost per Unit	(Sub	rplus / sidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate
1	ADMINISTRATIVE (BASE) FEES	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$		0%
2	Permit Issuance	2843	П	\$		\$	20.80	\$	(0.80)	96%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
	SIMPLE M,P,E SINGLE OR COMBINATION																
3	PERMITS	2843		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	82.00	\$	79.62	\$	2.38	103%
	Includes any item or combination of items on the																
	lists below for Mechanical, Plubming, and			٦				_		00/			_		•		00/
<u>4</u> 5	Simple Mechanical Items:	0 0	_	\$		\$	-	\$		0% 0%	- \$ \$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
- 5	Addition to each heating appliance,	0		1 D	<del>-</del>	Ф	-	<b>.</b>	-	076	3		Þ	-	ð.		0%
	refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption																
	unit, or each heating, cooling, absorption,			١.													
6	or evaporative cooling system.	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
7	Air-handling unit, including attached	0		٦,				•		00/					•		00/
8	ducts. Air-handling unit over 10,000 CFM	0 0		\$		\$	-	\$ \$		0% 0%	\$ \$		\$	-	\$		0%
9	Evaporative cooler	0		\$	<del>-</del>	\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$		0%
10	Ventilation fan connected to a single duct	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Ventilation system (not a portion of			Ľ							Ť				,		
11	heating or a/c system)	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
12	Hood and duct system.	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
13	Other Misc. appliances or equipment.	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
14	Simple Plumbing Items:	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
15 16	Plumbing fixtures	0 0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0% 0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0% 0%
17	Building sewer Rainwater systems (per drain)	0	_	\$ \$		\$	-	<u>\$</u> \$		0%	\$ \$		\$	-	\$ \$		0%
18	Water Heater	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$		0%
19	Industrial waste pretreatment interceptor	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Water piping and/or water treating			Ė													
20	equipment (each)	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Repair or alteration of drainage or vent			١.													
21	piping, each fixture	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
22	Each lawn sprinkler system on any one	0		<b> </b> \$				•		0%	s		\$		s		0%
23	meter - [deleted] Backflow devices each unit	0		\$		\$	-	\$ \$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$		0%
24	Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
25	Simple Electrical Items - Systems:	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$		\$	-	0%
26	Residential swimming Pools	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
27	Temporary Power Service	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
28	Temporary power pole.	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$		\$	-	0%
29	Sub poles (each).	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
30	Simple Electricial Items - Units:	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
31	Receptacle, Switch, and Lighting Outlets	0		٦,				•		0%					•		00/
32	(per project) Lighting Fixtures (per project)	0		\$	<del></del>	\$	-	\$	<del></del>	0%	- 3 S		\$	_	\$	-	0% 0%
32	Pole or platform-mounted lighting fixtures			۳		Ψ	-	Ψ		0 /0	3		Ψ	-	Ψ		U /0
33	(each)	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Theatrical-type lighting fixtures or			Г							Ť						
34	assemblies (each)	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
35	Residential Appliances (each)	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Residential appliances and self-			_		_		•		201			_		•		201
36	contained, nonresidential appliances,	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
1	Appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP), kilowatt (KW), or																
37	kilovolt-ampere (KVA), in rating,(each)	0		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	0%	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	0%
38	Busways	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Trolley and plug-in-type busways - each			Г											,		
39	100 feet or fraction thereof	0		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
1	(An additional fee will be required for																
1	lighting fixtures, motors, and other																
	appliances that are connected to trolley																
40	and plug-in-type busways. No fee is required for portable tools.)	0		•	_	\$	_	¢	_	0%		_	¢	_	\$	_	0%
41	0	0		\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
42	Signs, Outline Lighting, and Marquees			\$		\$	-	\$		0%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Signs, Outline Lighting, or Marquees			Ť		Ĺ		Ĺ			Ť						
43	supplied from one branch circuit (each)	0		\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%

Results MPE

#### City of Fresno USER FEE STUDY

**Building and Safety Services Division** 

#### RESULTS ANALYSIS - MPE ITEMS

	Fee Service Information		Plan Check Full Cost Results (Unit)					Inspection Full Cost Results (Unit)									
Fee#	Fee Title	Annual Revenue Activity Level (PC & Insp.)		Current Fe		Full Cost per Unit		Surplus / ıbsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate		Current Depo		Full	Cost per Unit		urplus / bsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate
		(															
	Additional branch circuits within the same																
	sign, outline lighting system, or marquee																
44	(each)	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
	Services of 600 volts or less and not over																
45	400 amperes in rating (each)	0		\$ -	_ :	\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
	Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and	_		_		_	_				_		_		_		
46	Conductors	0		\$ -	- 1	\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$		\$		0%
	Electrical apparatus, conduits, and																
47	conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth	0		•	Ι.	\$ -	\$		0%		\$		•		•		0%
48	Residential Photovotaic Systems - each	0		\$ - \$ -			\$		0%		\$ \$		\$		\$		0%
49	0	0		\$ - \$ -		\$ <u>-</u> \$-	\$	-	0%	_	\$	-	\$		\$	<del></del>	0%
43	COMPLEX MECHANICAL STAND-ALONE			- Ψ		φ -	Ψ		0 /6		φ	-	Ψ		φ		0 /6
50	PERMITS	0		\$ -		s -	\$	_	0%		\$	_	\$	_	\$		0%
50	Items on this schedule are issued on a per item			Ψ -		Ψ -	Ψ		0%		Ψ		Ψ		φ		0 /0
51	basis	0		s -	- [ ,	\$ -	\$	_	0%		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	0%
52	FAU less than 100,000 Btu/h	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	123.89		(123.89)	0%
53	FAU greater than 100,000 Btu/h	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	123.89		(123.89)	0%
54	Floor furnace (including vent)	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	123.89		(123.89)	0%
55	Suspended, wall, or floor-mounted heaters	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	123.89		(123.89)	0%
	Appliance vents not included in an appliance															, , , ,	
56	permit	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	123.89	\$	(123.89)	0%
	Boiler or compressor, up to 3HP / Absorption																
57	system up to 100,000 Btu/h.	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	256.59	\$	(256.59)	0%
	Boiler or compressor, from 3HP to 15 HP /																
	Absorption system from 100,000 Btu/h to																
58	500,000 Btu/h.	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	406.59	\$	(406.59)	0%
	COMPLEX ELECTRICAL STAND-ALONE																
69	PERMITS	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
	Items on this schedule are issued on a per item																
70	basis	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
71	Nonresidential Appliances	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
72	Power Apparatus	0		\$ -	_ :	\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0%
	Motors, generators, transformers, rectifiers, synchronous converters, capacitors, industrial heating, air conditioners and heat pumps, cooking or			_		_											
73	baking equipment, and other apparatus	0		\$ -	- 1	\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Rating in horsepower (HP), kilowatts																
74	(KW), or kilovolt-amperes (KVA), or	0		•		•			00/		•				•		
75	kilovolt-amperes-reactive (KVAR): - Up to and including 1 (each) -	0		\$ - \$ -	- 1	\$ - \$ -	\$		0% 0%		\$	-	\$	198.20	\$	(198.20)	0% 0%
76	Over 1 and not over 10 (each) -	0		\$ -			\$		0%		\$ \$		\$	270.71		(270.71)	0%
77	Over 1 and not over 10 (each) - Over 10 and not over 50 (each) -	0		\$ -		\$ - \$ -	\$		0%		\$	-	\$	451.18		(451.18)	0%
78	Over 50 and not over 50 (each) -	0		\$ -		\$ - \$ -	\$		0%	-	\$	-	\$	671.99		(671.99)	0%
79	Over 100 (each) -	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$		0%		\$	-	\$	831.23		(831.23)	0%
	Note: These fees include all switches, circuit breakers, contactors, thermostats, relays, and other directly related control			<u> </u>		<b>υ -</b>	J		0 /6		<u>.</u>		Φ	651.25	<u> </u>	(031.23)	0%
81	equipment.	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Services of 600 volts or less and over 400																
82	amperes to 1000 amperes in rating (each)	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	671.99	\$	(672)	0%
	Services over 600 volts or over 1000 amperes																
83	in rating (each)	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	884.31	\$	(884)	0%
	Stand Alone M, P, OR E Plan Check (hourly	_				_	١.				_				_		
85	rate)	0		\$ -		\$ 103.98	\$	(104)	0%		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
86	OTHER M, P, OR E INSECTIONS (hourly rate)	0		\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	0%		\$	-	\$	106.16	\$	(106.16)	0%

TOTALS:

Results MPE

City of Fresno USER FEE STUDY

**Building and Safety Services Division** 

#### **RESULTS ANALYSIS - MPE ITEMS**

	Fee Service Information				Tota	I Full Cost	Resi	ults (Unit)			Potential	Reve	enue Resu	ts (F	ee Servic	es Only)
Fee#	Fee Title	Annual Revenue Activity Level (PC & Insp.)		ent Fee / eposit				Surplus / ıbsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate	Re Cu	Projected Annual evenue at rrent Fee / Deposit	Re	rojected Annual evenue at Il Cost per Unit	Re Su	innual evenue urplus / ubsidy)	Full Cost Recovery Rate
1	ADMINISTRATIVE (BASE) FEES	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
2	Permit Issuance	2843	\$	20.00	\$	20.80	\$	(0.80)	96%	\$	56,860	\$	59,120	\$	(2,260)	96%
3	SIMPLE M,P,E SINGLE OR COMBINATION PERMITS	2042	\$	00.00	\$	70.00		0.00	4000/		000 400		220 250	\$	6,767	4000/
3	Includes any item or combination of items on the	2843	3	82.00	<b>3</b>	79.62	\$	2.38	103%	\$	233,126	\$	226,359	Þ	6,767	103%
	lists below for Mechanical, Plubming, and															
4	Electrical	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
5	Simple Mechanical Items:	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
6	Addition to each heating appliance, refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption unit, or each heating, cooling, absorption, or evaporative cooling system.	0	9		•		s		0%	s		¢		•		0%
	Air-handling unit, including attached	- 0	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		0 70	Ψ.		Ψ		Ψ		070
7	ducts.	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
8	Air-handling unit over 10,000 CFM	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
9	Evaporative cooler  Ventilation fan connected to a single duct	0	\$		\$		\$	-	0% 0%	\$		\$		\$	-	0% 0%
10	Ventilation system (not a portion of	U	φ		φ		φ		076	9		φ		φ		076
11	heating or a/c system)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
12	Hood and duct system.	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
13	Other Misc. appliances or equipment.	0	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
14 15	Simple Plumbing Items: Plumbing fixtures	0	\$		\$ \$		\$	-	0% 0%	\$		\$		\$		0% 0%
16	Building sewer	0	\$		\$		\$	-	0%	\$		\$		\$		0%
17	Rainwater systems (per drain)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
18	Water Heater	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
19	Industrial waste pretreatment interceptor	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
20	Water piping and/or water treating equipment (each)	0	s		\$		\$		0%	\$		\$		\$		0%
20	Repair or alteration of drainage or vent	- 0	Ţ		Ψ		φ	-	0 /6	φ		φ		Ą		0 76
21	piping, each fixture	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Each lawn sprinkler system on any one															
22	meter - [deleted]	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
23 24	Backflow devices each unit Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers	0	\$		\$		\$	-	0% 0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0% 0%
25	Simple Electrical Items - Systems:	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
26	Residential swimming Pools	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
27	Temporary Power Service	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
28	Temporary power pole.	0	\$		\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0% 0%
29 30	Sub poles (each). Simple Electricial Items - Units:	0	\$		\$		\$		0% 0%	\$ \$		\$		\$		0%
- 50	Receptacle, Switch, and Lighting Outlets	- 0	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		0 70	- V		Ψ		Ψ		070
31	(per project)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
32	Lighting Fixtures (per project)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
20	Pole or platform-mounted lighting fixtures	0	\$		\$				00/	\$				\$		0%
33	(each) Theatrical-type lighting fixtures or	U	- P		Ф_	-	\$		0%	- P		\$		Þ		076
34	assemblies (each)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
35	Residential Appliances (each)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Residential appliances and self-	_			_		_					_		_		
36	contained, nonresidential appliances,	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP), kilowatt (KW), or															
37	kilovolt-ampere (KVA), in rating,(each)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
38	Busways	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
00	Trolley and plug-in-type busways - each		_						001							
39	100 feet or fraction thereof (An additional fee will be required for	0	\$		\$		\$	-	0%	\$		- 5		\$		0%
	lighting fixtures, motors, and other appliances that are connected to trolley and plug-in-type busways. No fee is															
40	required for portable tools.)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
41	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%
42	Signs, Outline Lighting, and Marquees Signs, Outline Lighting, or Marquees	0	\$		\$		\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$		\$		0%
43	supplied from one branch circuit (each)	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%

FRESNO Bidg Update 0910-FINAL 2.xls

Results MPE

City of Fresno USER FEE STUDY

**Building and Safety Services Division** 

## **RESULTS ANALYSIS - MPE ITEMS**

44 45 46 47 47 48 48 49 COMPLE PERMITS Items on basis 52 FAU 53 FAU 55 Sugar 69 PERMITS Items on basis 71 Nonr 70 basis 71 Nonr 72 Power 73 1 1 Nonr 72 Power 75 1 1 Nonr 77 76 1 77 78 79 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Fee Title  Additional branch circuits within the same sign, outline lighting system, or marquee (each) Services of 600 volts or less and not over 400 amperes in rating (each) Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0	Annual Revenue Activity Level (PC & Insp.)	\$ \$	ent Fee / eposit	Ful \$	I Cost per Unit		Surplus / ubsidy) per Unit	Full Cost Recovery Rate		Projected Annual Revenue at Current Fee / Deposit	Re	rojected Annual evenue at I Cost per	Re Su	nnual venue rplus /	Full Cost Recovery
44 45 46 46 47 48 49 49 49 55 FAU 55 Suspension Boile Absc 500 Applied Applied Appl	Additional branch circuits within the same sign, outline lighting system, or marquee (each) Services of 600 volts or less and not over 400 amperes in rating (each) Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0	0	\$	-	\$	-		OIIIL	Nate	_			Unit		ıbsidy)	Rate
44 45 46 47 47 48 48 49 COMPLE PERMITS Items on basis 52 FAU 53 FAU 55 Sugar 69 PERMITS Items on basis 71 Nonr 70 basis 71 Nonr 72 Power 73 1 1 Nonr 72 Power 75 1 1 Nonr 77 76 1 77 78 79 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	sign, outline lighting system, or marquee (each) Services of 600 volts or less and not over 400 amperes in rating (each) Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0	0			\$						Deposit		Ollit	(30	ibsiuy)	Nate
44	(each) Services of 600 volts or less and not over 400 amperes in rating (each) Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0	0			\$											
45 46 47 48 49 49 COMPLE 50 PERMITS 152 FAU 53 FAU 555 Suss Appli 56 Boile Absc 57 Boile Absc 57 PERMITS 11 tems on basis 71 Nonr 72 Power 73 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Services of 600 volts or less and not over 400 amperes in rating (each) Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0	0			\$											
45	400 amperes in rating (each) Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0			_			\$	-	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
46	Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0				\$		s		0%	<b>.</b>	\$ -	\$		s		0%
46	Conductors Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0	0			3	-	\$	-	0%	- 3	<b>&gt;</b> -	•		3		0%
47 48 49 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	0%		\$ -	\$	_	s	_	0%
47   1   4   4   4   4   4   6   6   6   6   6	but for which no fee is herein set forth Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0		1		Ţ.		T .				•					
48 49 COMPLE PERMITS Items on 51 52 FAU 53 FAU 54 Floor 55 Susp Appli 56 PERMITS Boile Absc 58 S00, COMPLE PERMITS Items on 70 basis 71 Nonr 72 Powe 73 74 75 76 60 60 77 77 78 79	Residential Photovotaic Systems - each 0															
49	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%			\$	-	\$	-	0%
COMPLE   PERMITS     Items on basis     52		0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	- 3	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
50   PERMITS   Items on   51   52   54   55   56   57   58   58   59   56   57   58   58   59   58   59   59   59   59		0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
Items on basis		0	s	_	\$		\$	_	0%		\$ -	\$		s	_	0%
51         basis           52         FAU           53         FAU           54         Floor           55         Susp.           66         Sousp.           80ile         Absc.           58         500.           COMPLE         PERMITS           Items on         1           71         Nonr           72         Powe           73         1           74         1           75         1           76         0           77         76           77         1           78         0           79         0           81         8	n this schedule are issued on a per item	-	Ψ		Ψ_				070		Ψ	Ψ_				070
53 FAU 54 Floor 55 Suspension 56 Appli 56 Boile 57 Boile 58 Suspension 69 PERMITS 11 Nonr 71 Nonr 72 Powe 73 I	·	0	\$		\$		\$	-	0%		\$ -	\$		\$	-	0%
54   Floor	J less than 100,000 Btu/h	0	\$	-	\$	123.89	\$	(123.89)	0%	,	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
55   Susp   Appli	J greater than 100,000 Btu/h	0	\$	-	\$	123.89	\$	(123.89)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
Appli	or furnace (including vent)	0	\$	-	\$	123.89	\$	(123.89)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
56         perm           Boile         Boile           Formal         Boile           Boile         Absc           58         500,           69         PERMITS           70         basis           71         Nonr           72         Pow           73         I           74         I           75         I           76         I           77         I           78         I           79         I           81         I	spended, wall, or floor-mounted heaters	0	\$	-	\$	123.89	\$	(123.89)	0%	- 3	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
Boile	oliance vents not included in an appliance	0	s		\$	400.00		(400.00)	0%		\$ -	\$		s		0%
57 syste	ler or compressor, up to 3HP / Absorption	U	2		3	123.89	\$	(123.89)	0%	-	<b>&gt;</b> -	3		3		0%
80ile Absc 58 500,0 COMPLE PERMITS Items on basis 100,0 COMPLE POWN 100,0 COMPLE POW	tem up to 100,000 Btu/h.	0	\$	_	\$	256.59	\$	(256.59)	0%		\$ -	\$		s	_	0%
COMPLE PERMITS Items on basis 71 Nonr 72 Power 73 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ler or compressor, from 3HP to 15 HP / sorption system from 100,000 Btu/h to 0.000 Btu/h.	0	s		s	406.59	s	(406.59)	0%		\$ -	\$		\$		0%
69 PERMITS Items on on basis 71 Nonr 72 Power 73 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EX ELECTRICAL STAND-ALONE	0	۰		Ψ_	400.59	, o	(400.59)	0 76	-	φ -	Ψ_		J.		. 070
70 Items on basis 71 Nonr 72 Powe 73 1		0	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	0%		\$ -	\$	_	s	_	0%
71 Nonr 72 Poww 73 1 74 75 6 6 77 77 78 6 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n this schedule are issued on a per item	-			Ť		1				•	_		1		
72 Power 73 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	·	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%			\$	-	\$	-	0%
73   1   74   75   76   6   6   77   78   79   6   6   79   6   6   79   7   7   8   8	nresidential Appliances	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
73 1 74 75 76 77 77 78 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	wer Apparatus	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	;	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
74   1   75   76   77   78   79   79   81   81   6	Motors, generators, transformers, rectifiers, synchronous converters, capacitors, industrial heating, air conditioners and heat pumps, cooking or															
74   75   76   76   77   78   79   79   181   81   81	baking equipment, and other apparatus	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
74   175   176   176   177   1	Rating in horsepower (HP), kilowatts															
75   1   76   77   78   79   79   79   79   79   79	(KW), or kilovolt-amperes (KVA), or kilovolt-amperes-reactive (KVAR): -	0	s		\$		\$		0%		œ.	\$		s		0%
76 (77 (78 (79 (79 (79 (79 (79 (79 (79 (79 (79 (79	Up to and including 1 (each) -	0	\$		\$	198.20	\$	(198.20)	0%	- 3	\$ - \$ -	\$		\$		0%
77	Over 1 and not over 10 (each) -	0	\$		\$	270.71	\$	(270.71)	0%		φ - \$ -	\$		\$		0%
78 79 1 81	Over 10 and not over 50 (each) -	0	\$		\$	451.18	\$	(451.18)	0%		\$ -	\$		\$		0%
79 ( 1 0 1 81	Over 50 and not over 100 (each) -	0	\$	-	\$	671.99	\$	(671.99)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
81	Over 100 (each) -	0	\$	-	\$	831.23	\$	(831.23)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	Note: These fees include all switches, circuit breakers, contactors, thermostats, relays, and other directly related control															
	equipment.	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	- 1	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
82 ampe	vices of 600 volts or less and over 400 peres to 1000 amperes in rating (each) vices over 600 volts or over 1000 amperes	0	\$		\$	671.99	\$	(672)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
	ating (each)	0	\$	-	\$	884.31	\$	(884)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
			Ť				Ť	(55.)								
85 rate)	Alone M, P, OR E Plan Check (hourly	0	\$	-	\$	103.98	\$	(104)	0%			\$	-	\$	-	09
86 OTHER I	Alone M, P, OR E Plan Check (hourly	0	\$	-	\$	106.16	\$	(106.16)	0%		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
			1		1		1					1		<u> </u>		
TOTALS:	Alone M, P, OR E Plan Check (hourly M, P, OR E INSECTIONS (hourly rate)				1						\$ 289,986	\$	285,479	\$	4,507	1029

# **ATTACHMENT E**

Market Survey of Fees for Planning and Building

# 1. PLANNING FEE COMPARISON

	CUP	Tentative and Final Tract Maps	Tentative and Final Parcel Maps	Plan Amendments	Rezones
Fresno – Current Fee	Application: Under 1 net acre \$4,190 1 to 5 net acres \$6,230 Over 5 net acres \$6,230 Each Acre over 5 \$74  Mid-rise / High-rise Building Up to 5 net acres \$12,610 Over 5 net acres \$12,610 Each acre over 5 \$170	Tentative: Pre-application and verification \$3,680 Base Fee Per Map \$12,030 Per Lot \$105  Final: Base Fee Per Map: \$9,140 Per Lot \$59	Tentative: 3 lots or less \$2,100 4 lots or more \$2,520  Final: 3 lots or less \$3,160 4 lots or more \$4,410	1 acre or less \$5,000 Over 1 to 5 acres \$7,500 Over 5 to 10 acres \$10,000 Over 10 acres \$12,500	Modifications to zoning conditions \$2,100  Under 1 acre \$2,100 1 to 5 acres \$5,990 Over 5 acres \$7,460+ Each acre over 5 \$74 Maximum \$10,510
Fresno – Fee @ 100% Cost Recovery	Application: \$7,521 Mid-rise / High-rise Building Up to 1 net acres \$10,980 1 to 5 net acres \$13,537	Tentative: Pre-application and verification \$2,887 Base Fee per Map:\$15,421 Per ea 50 Lots: \$8,159  Final: Filing Base Fee Per Map: \$11,234 Per ea 50 Lots: \$3,606	Tentative: 4 lots or less \$4,712 5 lots or more \$6,383  Final: 4 lots or less \$7,892 5 lots or more \$10,903	\$9,693	Modifications to zoning conditions \$8,392  Rezoning \$9,495

	CUP	Tentative and Final Tract Maps	Tentative and Final Parcel Maps	Plan Amendments	Rezones
Sacramento	Special Permits:  Planning Commission \$5,500 Development within PUD \$5,300 Condo Conversion \$9,298 Infill Development \$2,400 Major Project \$8,344 Temporary Parking Lot \$1,788	Tentative Map 5 to 50 parcels \$6,500  51-100 parcels \$7,500  101 or more parcels: \$7,500 + \$417/ each additional 100 Parcels	Tentative Map \$3,576	0-2 acre residential project \$113 per hour + \$1,013 fee for Hearing / Noticing	Residential Project up to 2 acres \$113 per hour + \$1013 fee for Hearing/Noticing Other Rezone / Prezone \$9536
Riverside	CUP \$4,411 + \$66 per additional lot, acre or portion CUP – Housing or Alcohol related \$7,986	Tentative Tract Map \$5,808 +\$66 per additional lot, street or easement	Parcel Map \$4,961 + \$66 per additional parcel, street or easement	NA	\$3,426.50 + \$44 per additional lot, acre or portion
Bakersfield	CUP without Neg Dec (except petroleum wells) \$1,995	Tentative \$5,301.75 Final \$800 = \$31 / lot	Tentative \$4,791.75 Final \$800 + \$31 / lot	Any Element Change (includes changes to an existing specific plan) \$7,071.75	Zone Change (except to PUD or PCD) \$5,576.75

	CUP	Tentative and Final Tract Maps	Tentative and Final Parcel Maps	Plan Amendments	Rezones
Stockton	Large Family Child Care Home \$565 Child Care Centers, Pre- School \$8,537 All other Commission Use Permits \$2,537 All other Admin. Use Permits \$1680 Time Extension Request for Use Permit \$846 Temporary Activity Permits \$565 Time Extension for Temporary Activity Permit \$114 Live Entertainment \$226 Secondhand Store \$1,128 Communication Facility (major) \$3,384	Tentative Over 4 lots, Base fee \$4,511 + Each additional lot over 4 \$114	Tentative 4 lots or less \$3,384	\$5,639	\$3,947
Modesto	Lot w/Single Family House \$330 All Others \$5,622	Tentative \$5,859	Tentative \$4,798	T&M / \$5,000 deposit	\$2,716
Merced	Minor \$100 (signs) or \$150 Regular \$1,800 Major \$2,000 + \$75/Acre	Tentative Based on Cost (\$1,500 deposit required  Final \$1,500	Final \$450 + \$225/lot over 2 lots	\$500 + \$65/ Acre	\$2,250 + \$65/Acre

	CUP	Tentative and Final Tract Maps	Tentative and Final Parcel Maps	Plan Amendments	Rezones
Clovis	CUP \$5,500 CUP / Council Hearing \$6,075	Tentative \$8,150 + \$35/Lot or Unit Final \$2,750 + \$20/Lot or Unit	Tentative \$3,025 Final \$1,300 + \$40 / Parcel	Non-Refundable \$500 Initial Filing Fee + Actual costs (\$8,075 minimum)	Other than Single Family and P-C-C \$5,500 + \$50/Acre
Visalia	Amendment to Approved CUP \$631 Minor CUP \$631 Regular / PUD / PRD \$3,330 Temporary – Counter \$119 Temporary – To Planning Commission \$356	Tenative Subdivision Map Filing Fee \$6,006	Tentative Parcel Map Filing Fee \$2,439	Simple \$1,902 Complex \$8,444	\$3,091

	Environmental Assessments (including EIR)									
	Environmental Charges – Non-entitlement publ	ic projects								
Fresno – Current	Exemption	\$640								
	Negative declaration	\$2,940								
	Mitigated negative declaration	\$5,460								
	Mitigated negative declaration with special studies	\$5,360+staff time and direct costs								
	Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – Analysis of	of EIR for private projects								
	Minor – Request + filing fees \$15,760									
	Major – Request + filing fees \$22,140									
Francis For @ 4000/	Categorical Exemption: \$1,086									
Fresno – Fee @ 100%	Environmental Assessment									
Cost Recovery	Finding of Conformity: \$3,342									
	MND: \$8,022									
	Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – Analysis of	of EIR for private projects								
	Focus: \$54,398	,								
	Program: \$79,968									
	Environmental Initial Study w/o grading	\$1,243								
Riverside	Environmental Initial Study w/o grading – w/exis	sting case \$319								
Miverside	Environmental Initial Study with grading – w/ex	isting case \$1,353								
	Environmental Impact Report	\$20,636								
Bakersfield	NA									
	Notice of Exemption	\$114								
Stockton	Neg Dec/IS local	\$902								
	Neg Dec/Expanded IS/Mitigation Agreement	\$924 + 25% of contract								
	Addendum to EIR or ND/EIS	\$924 + 25% of contract								
	Environmental Impact Report	\$924 + 25% of contract								
	Mit. Mon. / Neg Dec IS local (PC)	\$924 + 25% of contract								
	Mit. Mon / Neg Dec/IS (SCH)	\$924 + 25% of contract								
	Mit. Mon. / EIR	\$924 + 25% of contract								
	Notice of Determination	\$114								

	Enviro	Environmental Assessments (including EIR)										
	CEQA Notice of Exemption		No Fee (\$57 if recorded)									
Modesto	Initial Study / Finding of Conformation	ance w/ MEIR / Written Checklis	st									
Modesto	<ul> <li>Includes: Checklist, Bee Legal Ad</li> </ul>	I and Notice of Determination	\$472									
	Mitigated Negative Declaration											
	<ul> <li>City / Consultant preparation cost</li> </ul>	s (deposit + balance of cost)	T&M (\$5,000 deposit)									
	Environmental Impact Report											
	<ul> <li>City/Consultant preparation costs</li> </ul>		T&M (30% of consultant cost deposit)									
	Staff Evaluation of Special Studie	s (deposit + balance of cost)	T&M (\$3,000 deposit)									
	Filing Fee \$35											
Merced	Environmental Impact Reports -	Based on Cost (deposit required)										
11101000	Expanded Initial Study - Based or	n Cost (deposit required)										
	Categorical Exemption \$4	150										
Clovis	Negative Declaration \$2	2,800										
	Mitigated Negative Declaration \$3											
	NEPA Compliance Ad	ctual Cost										
	<b>Environmental Applications</b>											
Visalia	Categorical Exemption	\$59										
riodiid	Environmental Impact Report (EIF											
	Processing Fee	7 ½% of Contract										
	<ul> <li>City Managed Consultant Work</li> </ul>	Actual Cost + 10% Contract										
	Environmental Notices	\$111 per year; renewal neede	d each year									
	Initial Study / Negative Declaration	n										
	Simple	\$558										
	Complex	\$2,125										
	Mitigated Negative Declaration											
	• In-house	Actual Cost										
	Outside Consultant	Actual Cost + 10%										
	NEPA Environmental Review											
	Simple	\$595										
	Complex	\$2,973										

	Environmental Assessments (including EIR)	
Sacramento	Category A – One in Category A: \$560 Tentative Map (1-4 Parcels) Subdivision Modification Lot Line Adjustment / Merger Special Permit from Zoning Admin Special Permit: Condo Conversion Variance from Zoning Admin Variance: Planning Comm.	
	Category B One in Category B + any in Category A: \$1669 Multiple in Category B + any in Category A: \$2,801 Post Subdivision Modification Special Permit: PUD Special Permit: Planning Comm Special Permit: Temp Parking Special Permit: Infill Preservation Review: Landmark Demo Preservation Review: Neg Declaration	
	Category C One in Category C + any in Categories A and B: \$4,494 Multiple in Category C + any in Categories A and B: \$7,271 Plan Amendment Rezone / Prezone Tentative Map (5+ Parcels) Special Permit: Major Project PUD Establishment / Amendment Development Agreement	

# 2. BUILDING FEE COMPARISON

	Single Family Home - New  • Home = 2400 s.f. • Garage = 800 s.f. • Cov. Porch / Patio = 300 s.f.	Retail Shell Bldg – New • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Office (B Occupancy) Tenant Improvement – Existing Structure • 5,000 s.f. • Type IIB, IIIB, IV or VB	Restaurant (A Occupancy) – New Construction • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Warehouse - New Construction  • 10,000 s.f.  • Type II	Re-roof Permit  • 2,000 s.f  • Type V building
Fresno – Current • Fee does not include M,P,E	Permit=\$447 Plan Check=\$447	Permit= \$603 Plan Check=\$603	Permit=\$1382 Plan Check= \$1174 (\$66 sq ft)	Permit=\$811 Plan Check= \$811	Permit=\$676 Plan Check=\$676	Permit=\$69 Plan Check= \$73
Fresno – Fee @ 100% Cost Recovery	Permit= \$2,096 Plan Check=\$1,298	Permit= \$819  Plan Check= \$1,419	Permit= \$1,778 Plan Check=\$485	Permit= \$2,459 Plan Check=\$4,263	Permit= \$1,946 Plan Check=\$2,859	Comp. No tear Off \$70 All other (first 10 squares): \$97 (Ea Add'l 10 Squares): \$18

	Single Family Home – New  • Home = 2400 s.f. • Garage = 800 s.f. • Cov. Porch / Patio = 300 s.f.	Retail Shell Bldg – New • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Office (B Occupancy) Tenant Improvement – Existing Structure • 5,000 s.f. • Type IIB, IIIB, IV or VB	Restaurant (A Occupancy) – New Construction • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Warehouse - New Construction • 10,000 s.f. • Type II	Re-roof Permit  • 2,000 s.f  • Type V building
Riverside • Fee does not include M,P,E • Schedule is valuation based	Permit = \$1,077  Plan Check = \$1,077	Permit = \$1,415  Plan Check = \$1,415	Permit = \$460 Plan Check = \$460	Permit = \$1,970  Plan Check = \$1,970	Permit = \$888  Plan Check = \$888	Over Existing - 2 Max Permit = \$45 Plan Check = \$45 Remove Existing Roof Permit = \$54 Plan Check = \$54 Remove Existing & New Shtg Permit = \$63 Plan Check = \$63
Stockton • Fee does not include M,P,E • Schedule is valuation based	Permit = \$1,884	Permit = \$1,878	No Information Provided	Permit = \$3,067	Permit = \$1,572	No Information Provided

	Single Family Home – New  • Home = 2400 s.f. • Garage = 800 s.f. • Cov. Porch / Patio = 300 s.f.	Retail Shell Bldg – New • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Office (B Occupancy) Tenant Improvement – Existing Structure • 5,000 s.f. • Type IIB, IIIB, IV or VB	Restaurant (A Occupancy) – New Construction • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Warehouse - New Construction  • 10,000 s.f.  • Type II	Re-roof Permit  • 2,000 s.f  • Type V building
Visalia • Fee per S.F. not valuation • Includes P, M, E	Permit = \$2,575  Plan Check = \$1,534	Permit = \$1,500  Plan Check = \$1,100	Permit = \$1,100  Plan Check = \$700	Permit = \$2,550  Plan Check = \$1,700	Permit = \$1,500  Plan Check = \$1,100  Does not include office space.	Simple roof overlay Permit = \$91.23 No Plan Check Re-roof w/ sheathing Permit = \$120.89 No Plan Check Structural w/ Calculations Permit = \$120.89 Plan Check = \$45.92
Modesto • Fee does not include M,P,E • Fee per S.F. not valuation	Permit = \$1,456  Plan Check = \$947	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	Permit = \$184 Plan Check = \$120

	Single Family Home – New  • Home = 2400 s.f. • Garage = 800 s.f. • Cov. Porch / Patio = 300 s.f.	Retail Shell Bldg – New • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Office (B Occupancy) Tenant Improvement – Existing Structure • 5,000 s.f. • Type IIB, IIIB, IV or VB	Restaurant (A Occupancy) – New Construction • 5,000 s.f. • Type V	Warehouse - New Construction  • 10,000 s.f.  • Type II	Re-roof Permit • 2,000 s.f • Type V building
Bakersfield • Schedule is valuation based • Fee includes M,P,E	Permit = \$688.79  Plan Check = \$563.57	Permit = \$1120.90  Plan Check = \$917.19	Permit = \$390.04  Plan Check = \$319.13	Permit = \$1897.32 Plan Check = \$1552.39	Permit = \$1410.92  Plan Check = \$1154.41	Permit = \$100
Sacramento • Schedule is valuation based • Fee does not include M,P,E	Permit = \$2,086  Plan Check = \$876	Permit = \$899  Plan Check = \$720	Permit = \$530 Plan Check = \$418	Permit = \$3,308  Plan Check = \$2,690	Permit = \$2,266  Plan Check = \$1,838	Permit = \$230
• Schedule is valuation based • Fee does not include M,P,E	Permit = \$3,004  Plan Check = \$4,957	Permit = \$6,278  Plan Check = \$10,359	Permit = \$4,940  Plan Check = \$8,151	Permit = \$6,095  Plan Check = \$10,057	Permit = \$9,696  Plan Check = \$15,998	\$0 - \$5,000 Permit = \$139 \$5,000-\$10,000 Permit = \$227
Clovis • Schedule is valuation based • Fee does not include M,P,E	Permit = \$1,062.58  Plan Check = \$886.34	Permit = \$2,007.47  Plan Check = \$1,151.14	Permit = \$1,021.81 Plan Check = \$651.98	Permit = \$3,464.18 Plan Check = \$1,932.04	Permit = \$5,168.71  Plan Check = \$2,807.96	Permit = \$146.08